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#### Abstract

We consider the Hurwitz Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold structure on the space of meromorphic functions on the Riemann sphere with exactly two poles, one simple and one of arbitrary order. We prove that the all genera partition function (also known as the total descendant potential) associated with this DubrovinFrobenius manifold is a tau function of a rational reduction of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy. This statement was conjectured by Liu, Zhang, and Zhou. We also provide a partial enumerative meaning for this partition function associating one particular set of times with enumeration of rooted hypermaps.
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## Introduction

This paper is a continuation to [10]. We consider the Hurwitz space $H_{0 ; 1, N-1}$ of rational functions with two poles, one of order 1 and one of order $N-1$,
for $N \geq 2$. As shown by Dubrovin in [12], this space carries the structure of semi-simple Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold of rank $N$. This family of Frobenius manifolds has also been recently studied from a different point of view in [3].

There are two equivalent constructions of the so-called all genera partition function (also known as total descendant potential) associated with a semi-simple Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold, given respectively in [23, 24] and in [14] (their equivalence is proved in [14]). Until now the combinatorial or enumerative meaning of the partition functions associated to $H_{0 ; 1, N-1}$ was not known, except for the case $N=2$ covered in [10]. We prove in this paper that a restriction of this partition function to one set of times gives a generating function for the enumeration of rooted hypermaps on compact two-dimensional surfaces.

Dubrovin and Zhang proved in op. cit. that the partition function of any Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold is a tau function of an infinite-dimensional integrable system, the so-called Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy (see also [4]). Liu, Zhang, and Zhou conjectured in [30] that the Dubrovin-Zhang integrable systems associated with the family of Dubrovin-Frobenius manifolds on $H_{0 ; 1, N-1}, N \geq 2$, are certain extensions of the rationally ( $N-1$ )-constrained Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) hierarchies, [11, 29]. In this paper we prove a version of their conjecture. Namely, we do prove that the partition function associated to $H_{0 ; 1, N-1}, N \geq 2$, is a tau function of the extended rationally constrained KP hierarchies, using an approach to construct the integrable systems due to Givental-Milanov-Tseng [22, 25, 33]. Note that the equivalence of these two approaches to the construction of integrable systems is not known. The first few steps towards their identification are outlined in [9].

Extending the method of Givental-Milanov-Tseng, we first construct Hirota bilinear equations for the partition function of $H_{0 ; 1, N-1}, N \geq 2$, and then we derive from the Hirota bilinear equations the Lax representation of the corresponding integrable system, generalizing the methods developed in $[32,8]$. Finally, in the Lax form we recognize the rationally $(N-1)$ constrained KP hierarchy, as predicted by Liu, Zhang, and Zhou in [30].

## Organization of the paper

To keep this paper reasonably short and technical we expect the reader to be familiar with the theory of Dubrovin-Frobenius manifolds as well as with the Givental theory. We will focus on the particular computations necessary to generalize the results of [10], to which we refer for a survey of the relevant definitions in the same notation as we use here.

In Section 1 we recall the definition and the basic structures, like flat metric, flat coordinates, the unit and the Euler vector fields, etc. of the Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold $H_{0 ; 1, N-1}$. In the further computations of the various structures of this Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold we restrict to a special point that we use as the base point for the expansion of the total descendant potential. In particular, we recall there the choice of calibration proposed by Liu, Zhang, and Zhou.

In Section 2 we recall the Givental formulas for the total descendant and ancestor potentials (expanded at the special point) and prove that the restriction of the total descendant potential to one set of times gives a generating function for the enumeration of rooted hypermaps, and thus a hypergeometric KP tau function by itself (see Theorem 3 and Corollary 7).

In Section 3 we discuss the geometry behind the solutions of Dubrovin's Fuchsian system associated to the Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold $H_{0 ; 1, N-1}$ and choose a suitable (sub)orbit of its monodromy group for further analysis. In particular, we discuss the period vectors associated to this (sub)orbit. Using these period vectors, we construct the vertex operators and discuss their asymptotics and conjugation properties (needed in the next section for the formulation and analysis of the Hirota quadratic equations).

In Section 4 we formulate and prove the Hirota quadratic equations for the total ancestor and the total descendant potentials, see Theorem 19 and Theorem 22 , respectively. Note that we consider the expansions of the both potentials at the special point of $H_{0 ; 1, N-1}$. At the end of the section we finally present the Hirota quadratic equations for the descendant potential in a very explicit form, see Corollary 23.

In Section 5 we analyse the explicit form of the Hirota quadratic equations and derive the Lax formulation of the associated integrable system, which we recognise to be the rationally $(N-1)$-constrained KP hierarchy, see Proposition 27 and Proposition 29. In Proposition 30 we provide the Lax representation of the extra chain of flows that provide the mentioned extension of the well-known rational reductions of the KP hierarchy. It has to be noted, however, that certain expected properties of the Lax pairs associated to the extra flows could not be proved with the currently available methods, see Remark 33 for the related conjecture.

## 1. The Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold

We recall the definition of the family of Dubrovin-Frobenius manifolds under consideration in terms of their superpotential following the construction on Hurwitz spaces in [12, Lecture 5]. This family of Dubrovin-Frobenius
manifolds was also studied in detail in [16, Section 8]. The $N=2$ case is discussed in detail in [10] and some analysis of the case $N=3$ is developed in [12, Example 5.5].

Most of our analysis throughout the paper is performed in the neighborhood of the special point $t_{s p}$, defined below. After a short survey of the basic structures of the Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold, we switch to the computations at $t_{s p}$.

### 1.1. Definition and basic structures

Let $M$ be the Hurwitz space $H_{0 ; 1, N-1}$, i.e. the space of meromorphic functions on the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ with two poles of order 1 and $N-1$ respectively and simple finite ramification points, modulo automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. The space $\tilde{M}$ of meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ with ramification profile ( $N-1,1$ ) over $\infty$, modulo automorphisms, can be identified with $\mathbb{C}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ via the superpotential

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p)=p^{N-1}+a_{2} p^{N-3}+\cdots+a_{N-1}+\frac{a_{N}}{p-a_{1}}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $M$ is identified with an open subset of $\tilde{M} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$, given by the functions $f(p)$ which have $N$ distinct finite simple critical points. Denoting $\Delta_{\text {crit }}$ the corresponding degenerate subset of $\tilde{M}$, we have that $M=\tilde{M} \backslash \Delta_{\text {crit }}$.

In the neighbourhood of any point $a \in M$, the critical values $u^{i}=$ $f\left(\tilde{p}_{i}\right)$, corresponding to the $N$ distinct critical points $\tilde{p}_{i}$ with $f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{p}_{i}\right)=0$, $i=1, \ldots, N$, define local coordinates. The assumption of simple ramification at the finite ramification points means that $f^{\prime \prime}\left(\tilde{p}_{i}\right) \neq 0$. Denote by $\hat{M}$ the subset of $M$ where $f$ has $N$ distinct critical values.

The commutative associative product • on the tangent spaces to $M$ is defined by declaring the coordinates $u^{i}$ to be canonical, i.e., the coordinate tangent fields are idempotents,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{j}}=\delta_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{i}} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of the affine group $f \mapsto \alpha f+\beta$ on $H_{0 ; 1, N-1}$ induces the unit and the Euler vector fields which are explicitly given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{N-1}}, \quad E=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{i}{N-1} a_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i}} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By direct computation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(f)=f-\frac{1}{N-1} p \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}, \quad e(f)=1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follows that in canonical coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{i}}, \quad E=\sum_{i=1}^{N} u^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{i}} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The metric is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial^{\prime}, \partial^{\prime \prime}\right)=-\left(\underset{p=\infty}{\operatorname{Res}}+\underset{p=a_{1}}{\operatorname{Re}}\right) \frac{\partial^{\prime} f \partial^{\prime \prime} f}{\partial_{p} f} d p \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The flatness of the metric can be directly proved by introducing flat coordinates $t^{1}, \ldots, t^{N}$ defined as coefficients of $p(\mu)=\mu+O\left(\mu^{-1}\right)$ solving $f(p, a)=\mu^{N-1}$ and explicitly given by $t^{1}=a_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{\alpha}=-\frac{N-1}{\alpha-1} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} f^{\frac{\alpha-1}{N-1}} d p, \quad \alpha=2, \ldots, N \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in particular implies $t^{N}=a_{N}$. The metric in these coordinates is given by

$$
\eta_{\alpha \beta}= \begin{cases}\frac{\delta_{\alpha+\beta, N+1}}{N-1} & 2 \leq \alpha \leq N-1  \tag{1.8}\\ \delta_{\alpha+\beta, N+1} & \alpha=1, N\end{cases}
$$

Using (1.4) it is easy to see that the unit and the Euler vector fields in flat coordinates are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{N-1}}, \quad E=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{\alpha}{N-1} t^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\alpha}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The charge $d$ of this Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{N-3}{N-1} . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall also that the matrices $\mu$ and $\mathcal{U}$ are respectively defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{2-d}{2}-\nabla E=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{N-1}{2(N-1)}, \frac{N-3}{2(N-1)}, \ldots, \frac{1-N}{2(N-1)}\right), \quad \mathcal{U}=E \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are respectively skew-symmetric and symmetric w.r.t. the inner product $\eta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \mu=-\mu \eta, \quad \eta \mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}^{T} \eta \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.2. Computations at the special point

We fix a special point $t_{s p}:=\left\{a_{i}=\delta_{i, N}\right\}$, where the superpotential takes the form $f\left(p, t_{s p}\right)=p^{N-1}+p^{-1}$. Our goal is to compute some of the structures associated with the Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold $M$ at this point.

In all computations below it is sufficient to use the fact that in the neighborhood of the special point (that is, in the coordinates $t^{\alpha}=\tilde{t}^{\alpha}+\delta^{\alpha, N}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p, t)=f\left(p, t_{s p}\right)+\sum_{\alpha=2}^{N} \tilde{t}^{\alpha} p^{N-1-\alpha}+\tilde{t}^{1} p^{-2}+o\left(\tilde{t}^{1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}^{N}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The critical points of $f\left(p, t_{s p}\right)$ are $c_{i}=(N-1)^{-1 / N} \exp (2 \pi \mathrm{i} \cdot i / N), i=$ $1, \ldots, N$. Therefore, the values of the canonical coordinates at $t_{s p}$ are $u^{i}=$ $f\left(c_{i}, t_{s p}\right), i=1, \ldots, N$, and

$$
\left.\frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial t^{\alpha}}\right|_{t_{s p}}= \begin{cases}c_{i}^{N-1-\alpha}, & \alpha=2, \ldots, N  \tag{1.14}\\ c_{i}^{-2}=(N-1) c_{i}^{N-2}, & \alpha=1\end{cases}
$$

We introduce functions $\Delta_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\delta_{i j} \Delta_{i}^{-1}\right|_{t_{s p}}=\left.\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{j}}\right)\right|_{t_{s p}}=\delta_{i j} N^{-1}(N-1)^{-3 / N} \exp (2 \pi \mathrm{i} \cdot 3 i / N) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in particular we fix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Delta_{i}^{1 / 2}\right|_{t_{s p}}=N^{1 / 2}(N-1)^{3 / 2 N} \exp (-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \cdot 3 i / 2 N) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\Psi_{\alpha}^{i}\right|_{t_{s p}} & =\left.\Delta_{i}^{-1 / 2} \frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial t^{\alpha}}\right|_{t_{s p}}  \tag{1.17}\\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
N^{-1 / 2}(N-1)^{(-2 N-1+2 \alpha) / 2 N} & \alpha=2, \ldots, N, \\
\cdot \exp (2 \pi \mathrm{i} \cdot i(2 N+1-2 \alpha) / 2 N), & \alpha=1 \\
N^{-1 / 2}(N-1)^{1 / 2 N} \exp (2 \pi \mathrm{i} \cdot i(-1) / 2 N), & \alpha=1
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

1.2.1. The $\boldsymbol{R}$ matrix $\quad$ The Givental $R$ matrix given by $R(z)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} R_{\ell} z^{\ell}$ is defined by the equations $R_{0}=\mathrm{Id}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\Psi^{-1} R_{m+1} \Psi, \mathcal{U}\right]=(m+\mu) \Psi^{-1} R_{m} \Psi \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Knowing $\left.\Psi\right|_{t_{s p}}$ and $\left.\mathcal{U}\right|_{t_{s p}}$ this equation allows to fully reconstruct $\left.R(z)\right|_{t_{s p}}$, but we won't need the explicit form of the $R$-matrix.
1.2.2. Calibration We recall the choice of calibration proposed in [30, Section 5]. Let $f(p)=f(p, a)$. We have, for $m \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\alpha, m}:=-\frac{1}{(N-1) \prod_{k=0}^{m}\left(k+\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} f(p)^{m+\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}} d p, \quad \alpha=2, \ldots, N-1 \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1, m}:=-\frac{1}{(m+1)!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} f(p)^{m+1} d p \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\theta_{N, m}:=\frac{N}{(N-1) m!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=a_{1}} f(p)^{m}(\widetilde{\log } f(p)-\mathfrak{h}(m)) d p$,
where $\mathfrak{h}(m)$ are the harmonic numbers. In the last expression $\widetilde{\log } f(p)$ is defined as a series near $p=a_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\log } f(p):=\frac{N-1}{N}\left(\log a_{N}+A+\frac{1}{N-1} B\right) \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a formal power series in $p-a_{1}$ obtained by the expansion of $\log \left(\frac{p-a_{1}}{a_{N}} f(p, a)\right)$ at $p \sim a_{1}$, and $B$ is a formal power series in $\left(p-a_{1}\right)^{-1}$ obtained by the expansion of $\log \left(\left(p-a_{1}\right)^{1-N} f(p, a)\right)$ at $\left(p-a_{1}\right)^{-1} \sim 0$.

The $S$-matrix is then defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{m}\right)_{\beta}^{\alpha}:=\eta^{\alpha \gamma} \frac{\partial \theta_{\beta, m}}{\partial t^{\gamma}} . \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. By calibration we refer to a solution to the deformed flatness equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
-z \frac{\partial Y}{\partial z}=\left(\mu+\frac{\mathcal{U}}{z}\right) Y, \quad z \frac{\partial Y}{\partial t^{\alpha}}=C_{\alpha} Y \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(t, z)=S(t, z) z^{-\mu} z^{-\rho} \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(t, z)=\sum_{k \geq 0} S_{k} z^{-k}$ with $S_{0}=1$ and satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(z) S(-z)^{T}=1 \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Above $C_{\alpha}$ denotes the operator of multiplication on the tangent space by $\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\alpha}}$. It follows from the entries in the diagonal matrix $\mu$ that the only nonzero entry of the matrix $\rho$ is $\rho_{N}^{1}$, which is then computed to be equal to $\frac{N}{N-1}$. One can prove along the lines of Section 2 in [10] that the $S$ matrix defined above does indeed provide a calibration.
1.2.3. The $\boldsymbol{S}$ matrix at $\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{s p}}$ From the previous formula and the choice of calibration of Liu-Zhang-Zhou we obtain the following formula for the $S$-matrix at $t_{s p}$. Letting $F:=p^{N-1}+p^{-1}=f\left(p, t_{s p}\right)$ we have:

$$
\left(S_{m}\right)_{\beta}^{\alpha}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
-\frac{1}{\prod_{k=0}^{m-1}\left(k+\frac{N-\beta}{N-1}\right)} \operatorname{Res} p_{p=\infty}^{\alpha-2} F^{m-(\beta-1) /(N-1)} d p,  \tag{1.27}\\
-\frac{1}{(N-1) \prod_{k=0}^{m-1}\left(k+\frac{N-\beta}{N-1}\right)} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} p^{-1} F^{m-(\beta-1) /(N-1)} d p, ~ & \alpha=1,2 \leq \beta \leq N-1, \\
-\frac{1}{(N-1) \prod_{k=0}^{m-1}\left(k+\frac{N-\beta}{N-1}\right)} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} p^{-2} F^{m-(\beta-1) /(N-1)} d p, \\
-\frac{N-1}{m!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty}^{\alpha-2} p^{m} d p, & \alpha=N, 2 \leq \beta \leq N-1, \\
-\frac{1}{m!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} p^{-1} F^{m} d p, & 2 \leq \alpha \leq N-1, \beta=1, \\
-\frac{1}{m!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} p^{-2} F^{m} d p, & \alpha=1, \beta=1, \\
-N, \beta=1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The case of $\beta=N$ is a bit more subtle. We have to use four special series there. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& A:=\log \left(1+p^{N}\right) \text { expanded in } p \sim 0  \tag{1.28}\\
& B:=\log \left(1+p^{-N}\right) \text { expanded in } p \sim \infty \\
& C:=\left(1+p^{N}\right)^{-1} \text { expanded in } p \sim 0 \\
& D:=\left(1+p^{-N}\right)^{-1} \text { expanded in } p \sim \infty
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have:

$$
\left(S_{m}\right)_{N}^{\alpha}= \begin{cases}\frac{N}{m!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=0} p^{\alpha-2} m F^{m-1}\left(\frac{N-1}{N} A+\frac{1}{N} B-\mathfrak{h}(m)\right) &  \tag{1.29}\\ \quad+\frac{N}{m!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=0} F^{m}\left(\frac{N-1}{N} C p^{\alpha-1}+\frac{1}{N} D p^{\alpha-1-N}\right), \\ \frac{N}{(N-1) m!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=0} p^{-1} m F^{m-1}\left(\frac{N-1}{N} A+\frac{1}{N} B-\mathfrak{h}(m)\right) & \alpha=2, \ldots, N-1, \\ \quad+\frac{N}{(N-1) m!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=0} F^{m}\left(\frac{N-1}{N} C+\frac{1}{N} D p^{-N}\right), & \alpha=1, \\ \frac{N}{(N-1) m!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=0} p^{-2} m F^{m-1}\left(\frac{N-1}{N} A+\frac{1}{N} B-\mathfrak{h}(m)\right) & \\ \quad+\frac{1}{m!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=0} F^{m}\left(-C p^{N-1}+D p^{-1}+\frac{N}{N-1} D p^{-N-1}\right), & \alpha=N\end{cases}
$$

For instance, for $m=0$ we have $\left(S_{m}\right)_{\beta}^{\alpha}=\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}$.
Remark 2. In the $N=2$ case we get

$$
S_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0  \tag{1.30}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which means that the calibration is fixed to $\psi=0$ in the notations of [10].

## 2. Partition function and enumerative meaning

### 2.1. Ancestor and descendant potentials

The purpose of this section is to briefly recall the Givental formulas for the partition function associated with the Dubrovin-Frobenius manifolds that we consider. We assume the reader to be familiar with Givental's quantization and in particular with the standard definitions of all involved operators. We refer to the original papers [23,24] for a general exposition and to [10] for the conventions used in this paper.

Let $\tau_{K d V}\left(\left\{t_{d}\right\}_{d \geq 0}, \epsilon^{2}\right)$ be the string KdV tau function, also referred in the literature as the Kontsevich-Witten tau function, and let $Q_{d}^{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$, $d=0,1,2, \ldots$, be formal variables related to the standard descendant variables $t_{d}$ by the so-called dilaton shift $Q_{d}^{i}=t_{d}-\delta_{1, d}$. The total ancestor potential is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}\left(\left\{q_{d}^{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1, \ldots, N ; d \geq 0}\right):=\hat{\Psi} \hat{R} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \tau_{K d V}\left(\left\{Q_{d}^{i}+\delta_{1, d}\right\}_{d \geq 0}, \epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\hat{R}$ is the quadratic differential operator obtained by Givental quantization of the $R$ matrix defined in Section 1.2.1; we won't however need its explicit form in this paper. The operator $\hat{\Psi}$ is just the change of variables in the resulting function given by $Q_{d}^{i}=\Psi_{\alpha}^{i} q_{d}^{\alpha}$.

The total descendant potential is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}\left(\left\{t_{d}^{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1, \ldots, N ; d \geq 0}\right):=C \hat{S}^{-1} \mathcal{A}\left(\left\{q_{d}^{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1, \ldots, N ; d \geq 0}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{S}^{-1}$ is the operator obtained by quantization of the $S$-matrix coming from the calibration, and $C$ is a normalization function on the underlying Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold with the property $C\left(t_{s p}\right)=1$. The variables $t_{d}^{\alpha}$ are related to $q_{d}^{\alpha}$ by the dilaton shift $q_{d}^{\alpha}=t_{d}^{\alpha}-\delta^{\alpha, 1} \delta_{d, 1}$.

Throughout the paper, in order to simplify the exposition and the computations, we are only interested in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ computed at the special point $t_{s p}$. For this reason we also omit the discussion of the dependence of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ on the choice of point $t \in \hat{M}$, see [24] or e. g. [10] for details.

It is also useful to consider representation of Equation (2.2) entirely in the variables $t_{d}^{\alpha}$ and $T_{d}^{i}=Q_{d}^{i}+\delta_{1, d}$. We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}\left(\left\{t_{d}^{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1, \ldots, N ; d \geq 0}\right)=C^{t} \hat{S}^{-1} \hat{\Psi}^{t} \hat{R} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \tau_{K d V}\left(\left\{\Delta_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{d}^{i}\right\}_{d \geq 0}, \Delta_{i} \epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{i}^{-\frac{1}{2}}=\Psi_{1}^{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{t} \hat{S} & =\left.\left(e^{-\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}^{1}}} \hat{S} e^{\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}^{1}}}\right)\right|_{q_{d}^{\alpha} \rightarrow t_{d}^{\alpha}}  \tag{2.4}\\
{ }^{t} \hat{R} & =\left.\left(e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Psi_{1}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{1}^{i}}} \hat{R} e^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Psi_{1}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{1}^{i}}}\right)\right|_{Q_{d}^{i} \rightarrow T_{d}^{i}} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We have hence two different representations of the total descendant potential expanded at the special point $t_{s p}$. The advantage of Equation (2.2) is that it is very convenient for the proof of Hirota equations in Section 4. On the other hand, Equation (2.3) represents the total descendant potential via formal operations on formal power series, and in this form it is used to reveal its enumerative meaning in Section 2.2.

### 2.2. Relation to rooted hypermaps

For a given $N \geq 2$, a $\left(k_{1}+1, \ldots, k_{n}+1\right)$-hypermap of genus $g$ is a way to combinatorially glue an oriented genus $g$ surface from $n$ white polygons
with $k_{1}+1, \ldots, k_{n}+1$ sides, respectively, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(k_{i}+1\right) / N$ black $N$-gons (their number is assumed to be integer, of course). The polygons are glued by identifying their sides in pairs, one from a white polygon, and one from a black $N$-gon. A $\left(k_{1}+1, \ldots, k_{n}+1\right)$-hypermap of genus $g$ is called rooted if one side for each white $\left(k_{i}+1\right)$-gon is distinguished.

Let $\mathrm{RHM}_{g ; k_{1}+1, \ldots, k_{n}+1}$ be the number of the isomorphism classes of $\left(k_{1}+\right.$ $1, \ldots, k_{n}+1$ )-hypermaps of genus $g$. This number has various further interpretations in the literature, through a number of bijective identifications and dualities: up to a factor of $\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(k_{i}+1\right)$ it is related to enumeration of $N$ orbifold strictly monotone Hurwitz numbers, special kind of Belyi functions, or constellations.

Our goal it to relate the descendant potential to enumeration of hypermaps, thus assigning it an enumerative meaning. The main result is the following:

Theorem 3. Consider the expansion of the descendant potential at the special point, with the calibration given by Equation (1.27). We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\log \mathcal{D}\right|_{\substack{t_{d}^{\alpha}=\delta^{\alpha, N} \delta_{d, 0} \\ \alpha=2, \ldots, N ; d \geq 0}}=\sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon^{2 g-2}}{n!} \sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{RHM}_{g ; k_{1}+1, \ldots, k_{n}+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{t_{k_{i}}^{1}}{\left(k_{i}+1\right)!} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In order to prove this theorem, we recall that enumeration of rooted hypermaps can be resolved by a matrix model computation, and in particular can be computed by expanding the symmetric $n$-differentials obtained via the Chekhov-Eynard-Orantin topological recursion, see [19, 17].

We consider the spectral curve given by the data $X=z /\left(1+z^{N}\right), \tilde{y}=z^{N}$, and $\tilde{B}=d z_{1} d z_{2} /\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)^{2}$, $\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}=\tilde{y} d X / X$. This choice of the spectral curve data is standard from the point of view of the KP integrability, see [1, 5]. It is more convenient for us to use an equivalent form given by $x=z^{N-1}+1 / z$, $y=-z, B=d z_{1} d z_{2} /\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)^{2}$, and $\omega_{0,1}=y d x=\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}-d x / x$; thus $x(z)=$ $f\left(z, t_{s p}\right)$.

It is proved (see e. g. $[19,17,1,5]$ ) that the CEO topological recursion applied to this spectral curve produces the symmetric differentials $\omega_{g, n}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right), 2 g-2+n>0$, from initial data $\omega_{0,1}\left(z_{1}\right), \omega_{0,2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$, whose expansions near $z_{1}=\cdots=z_{n}=0$ in the variables $x_{i}=x\left(z_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, n$, are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{g, n}=(-1)^{n} \sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n} \geq 0} \mathrm{RHM}_{g ; k_{1}+1, \ldots, k_{n}+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{d x_{i}}{x_{i}^{k_{i}+2}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{N}$ are the critical points (identified with their $z$ coordinates) of $x(z)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{j}(z):=\left.\frac{d z}{d \sqrt{2\left(x(z)-x\left(c_{j}\right)\right)}}\right|_{z=c_{j}} \cdot \frac{1}{c_{j}-z}, \quad j=1, \ldots, N \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the choice of the square roots $\sqrt{2\left(x(z)-x\left(c_{j}\right)\right)}$ is aligned with the choices made for $\Delta_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N$, namely, we require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d z}{d \sqrt{2\left(x(z)-x\left(c_{j}\right)\right)}}\right|_{z=c_{j}}=\Delta_{j}^{-1 / 2}, \quad j=1, \ldots, N \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is proved in [16] that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{g, n}=  \tag{2.10}\\
& {\left.\left[\epsilon^{2 g-2}\right] \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n} \leq N \\
a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \geq 0}}\left(\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{a_{j}}^{i_{j}}}\right) \log t \hat{R} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \tau_{K d V}\left(\Delta_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{d}^{i}, \Delta_{i} \epsilon^{2}\right)\right)\right|_{T_{a}^{i}=0}} \\
& \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} d\left(-\frac{d}{d x_{j}}\right)^{a_{j}} \xi^{i_{j}}\left(z_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

in the stable range. Combining Equations (2.7) and (2.10) we prove the theorem. It requires some computation performed below, which is a straightforward generalization of similar computations done in $[10,18]$.

First, we obtain an equivalent form of Equation (2.10) in the flat frame. To this end, define $\tilde{\xi}^{\alpha}:=\left(\Psi^{-1}\right)_{i}^{\alpha} \xi^{i}, \alpha=1, \ldots, N$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{g, n}= \\
& {\left.\left[\epsilon^{2 g-2}\right] \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \leq N \\
a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \geq 0}}\left(\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{a_{j}}^{\alpha_{j}}}\right) \log \hat{\Psi}^{t} \hat{R} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \tau_{K d V}\left(\Delta_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{d}^{i}, \Delta_{i} \epsilon^{2}\right)\right)\right|_{t_{a}^{\alpha}=0}} \\
& \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} d\left(-\frac{d}{d x_{j}}\right)^{a_{j}} \tilde{\xi}^{\alpha_{j}}\left(z_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(in this form Equation (2.11) could be also directly derived from [15], since we consider here an instance of a Hurwitz Frobenius manifold in the sense of Dubrovin). Note that

$$
\tilde{\xi}^{\alpha}= \begin{cases}\frac{z^{\alpha}}{1-(N-1) z^{N}} \cdot(N-1), & \alpha=2, \ldots, N-1  \tag{2.12}\\ \frac{z}{1-(N-1) z^{N}}, & \alpha=1, \\ \frac{1}{1-(N-1) z^{N}}, & \alpha=N .\end{cases}
$$

Second, we use the fact that the action of ${ }^{t} \hat{S}$ amounts to a linear triangular change of variables combined with the shift of the point of expansion (with the implied correction of the unstable terms), that is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{a_{j}}^{\alpha_{j}}} \log t^{t} \hat{S}^{-1} \hat{\Psi}^{t} \hat{R} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \tau_{K d V}\left(\left\{\Delta_{i}^{1 / 2} T_{a}^{i}\right\}_{a \geq 0}, \Delta_{i} \epsilon^{2}\right)\right)\right|_{t_{a}^{\alpha}=\delta^{\alpha, N} \delta_{a, 0}}=  \tag{2.13}\\
& \left.\sum_{\substack{0 \leq \ell_{j} \leq a_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n}}\left(S_{\ell_{j}}\right)_{\alpha_{\alpha_{j}}}^{\beta_{j}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{a_{j}-\ell_{j}}^{\beta_{j}}} \log \hat{\Psi}^{t} \hat{R} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \tau_{K d V}\left(\left\{\Delta_{i}^{1 / 2} T_{a}^{i}\right\}_{a \geq 0}, \Delta_{i} \epsilon^{2}\right)\right)\right|_{t_{a}^{\alpha}=0}
\end{align*}
$$

With (2.13), (2.11), and (2.7) combined, the statement of the theorem reduces in the stable range $2 g-2+n>0$ to the following lemma:

Lemma 4. We have:

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{z=\infty} \frac{x^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} d\left(-\frac{d}{d x}\right)^{a} \tilde{\xi}^{\alpha}= \begin{cases}0, & a>k \geq-1  \tag{2.14}\\ \left(S_{k-a}\right)_{1}^{\alpha}, & k \geq a \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Recall Equation (1.27) for $\beta=1$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \frac{x^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} d\left(-\frac{d}{d x}\right)^{a} \tilde{\xi}^{\alpha}=-\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \frac{x^{k-a}}{(k-a)!} d x \tilde{\xi}^{\alpha} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $k \geq a$ and 0 otherwise. Then for $m=k-a$ we rewrite the latter expression as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \frac{x^{m}}{m!} d x \tilde{\xi}^{\alpha}=\operatorname{Res}_{z=0}(N-1) z^{\alpha-2} \frac{x^{m}}{m!} d z=\frac{-(N-1)}{m!} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\infty} z^{\alpha-2} x^{m} d z \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case $\alpha=2, \ldots, N-1$ and if $\alpha=1$ or $N$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \frac{x^{m}}{m!} d x \tilde{\xi}^{\alpha}=\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} z^{p-2} \frac{x^{m}}{m!} d z=\frac{-1}{m!} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\infty}^{p-2} z^{m} d z \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p=1$ for $\alpha=1$ and $p=0$ for $\alpha=N$. For all $\alpha$ we obtain the formulas that coincide with the ones given in Equation (1.27) for $\beta=1$.

Finally, we have to check the unstable cases $(g, n)=(0,1)$ and $(g, n)=$ $(0,2)$. To this end, we use explicit formulas for the unstable cases in terms of the $S$-matrix from [23]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left.\left[\epsilon^{-2} t_{a}^{1}\right] \log \mathcal{D}\right|_{\substack{t_{d}^{\alpha}=\delta^{\alpha, N} \delta_{d, 0} \\
\alpha=2, \ldots, N ; d \geq 0}}=\eta_{\mathbb{1}, \alpha}\left(S_{a+2}\right)_{1}^{\alpha}, }  \tag{2.18}\\
& {\left.\left[\epsilon^{-2} t_{a}^{1} t_{b}^{1}\right] \log \mathcal{D}\right|_{\substack{\begin{subarray}{c}{\alpha=\delta_{d}^{\alpha, N} \delta_{d, 0} \\
t_{=2, \ldots, N ; d \geq 0}} }}\end{subarray}}=\left[z^{a} w^{b}\right] \frac{-\eta_{11}+\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty}\left(S_{m}\right)_{1}^{\mu} z^{m}\left(S_{n}\right)_{1}^{\nu} w^{n} \eta_{\mu \nu}}{z+w} }
\end{align*}
$$

which allow us to conclude the proof of the theorem with the following two lemmata.

Lemma 5. We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(k+1)!} \mathrm{RHM}_{0 ; k+1}=\eta_{\mathbb{1}, \alpha}\left(S_{k+2}\right)_{1}^{\alpha} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall that the unit vector field is $\partial / \partial t^{N-1}$. Hence, the right hand side of Equation (2.19) is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{\mathbb{1}, \alpha}\left(S_{k+2}\right)_{1}^{\alpha}  \tag{2.20}\\
& =\frac{1}{N-1}\left(S_{k+2}\right)_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{N-1} \cdot \frac{-(N-1)}{(k+2)!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty}\left(p^{N-1}+\frac{1}{p}\right)^{k+2} d p \\
& =\frac{1}{(k+2)!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=0}\left(p^{N-1}+\frac{1}{p}\right)^{k+2} d p
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, Equation (2.7) for $g=0, n=1$ gives $\mathrm{RHM}_{0 ; k+1}=$ $\left[X^{k+1}\right] \tilde{y}$, where $\tilde{y}=z^{N}=z\left(z^{N-1}+1 / z\right)-1$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(k+1)!} \mathrm{RHM}_{0 ; k+1}=\frac{1}{(k+1)!} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} z^{N} \frac{d\left(z /\left(1+z^{N}\right)\right)}{\left(z /\left(1+z^{N}\right)\right)^{k+2}} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{-1}{(k+1)!} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0}\left(z\left(z^{N-1}+\frac{1}{z}\right)-1\right) \\
& \cdot\left(z^{N-1}+\frac{1}{z}\right)^{k} d\left(z^{N-1}+\frac{1}{z}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{(k+2)!} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0}\left(z^{N-1}+\frac{1}{z}\right)^{k+2} d z
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the statement of the lemma.
Lemma 6. We have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\left(k_{1}+1\right)!\left(k_{2}+1\right)!} \mathrm{RHM}_{0 ; k_{1}+1, k_{2}+1}=  \tag{2.22}\\
& {\left[w_{1}^{k_{1}} w_{2}^{k_{2}}\right] \frac{-\eta_{1,1}+\sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}=0}^{\infty} w_{1}^{n_{1}} w_{2}^{n_{2}} \eta_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\left(S_{n_{1}}\right)_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\left(S_{n_{2}}\right)_{1}^{\alpha_{2}}}{w_{1}+w_{2}} .}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. First we analyze the right hand side of Equation (2.22). Recall the definition of $\eta$ given in Equation (1.8), in particular, $\eta_{1,1}=0$. Recall also the formulas for $\left(S_{n}\right)_{1}^{\alpha}$ at the special point given in Equation (1.27). In particular, a useful version of this formula in the case $\alpha=N$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{n}\right)_{1}^{\alpha}=-\frac{1}{n!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} p^{-2} f\left(p, t_{s p}\right)^{n} d p=\frac{N-1}{n!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=0} p^{N-2} f\left(p, t_{s p}\right)^{n} d p \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this expression, and, furthermore, replacing the residues at $p=\infty$ with the residues at $p=0$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}=0}^{\infty} w_{1}^{n_{1}} w_{2}^{n_{2}} \eta_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\left(S_{n_{1}}\right)_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\left(S_{n_{2}}\right)_{1}^{\alpha_{2}}  \tag{2.24}\\
& =\sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}=0}^{\infty} w_{1}^{n_{1}} w_{2}^{n_{2}}(N-1) \\
& \quad \cdot \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \operatorname{Res}_{p_{1}=0}^{N} \operatorname{Res}_{p_{2}=0}^{\alpha-2} p_{1}^{\alpha-1-\alpha} p_{2}^{N-1} \frac{f\left(p_{1}, t_{s p}\right)^{n_{1}}}{n_{1}!} \frac{f\left(p_{2}, t_{s p}\right)^{n_{2}}}{n_{2}!} d p_{1} d p_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously, the constant term of this series is equal to zero.
Now, to compute the left hand side of Equation (2.22), we recall that from Equation (2.7) for $g=0, n=2$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left(k_{1}+1\right)!\left(k_{2}+1\right)!} \mathrm{RHM}_{0 ; k_{1}+1, k_{2}+1} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\underset{z_{1}=0}{\operatorname{Res} \operatorname{Res}} \frac{x\left(z_{1}\right)^{k_{1}+1}}{\left(k_{1}+1\right)!} \frac{x\left(z_{2}\right)^{k_{2}+1}}{\left(k_{2}+1\right)!} \\
& \quad \cdot d_{z_{1}} d_{z_{2}}\left(\log \left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)-\log \left(x\left(z_{1}\right)-x\left(z_{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =-\quad-\operatorname{Res} \operatorname{Res}_{1}=0 \\
& \quad \cdot d_{z_{2}=0} \frac{x\left(z_{1}\right)^{k_{1}+1}}{\left(k_{1}+1\right)!} \frac{x\left(z_{2}\right)^{k_{2}+1}}{\left(k_{2}+1\right)!} \\
& \quad \log \left(1-z_{1} z_{2} \frac{z_{1}^{N-1}-z_{2}^{N-1}}{z_{1}-z_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right) \sum_{k_{1}, k_{2} \geq 0} w_{1}^{k_{1}} w_{2}^{k_{2}} \frac{1}{\left(k_{1}+1\right)!\left(k_{2}+1\right)!} \mathrm{RHM}_{0 ; k_{1}+1, k_{2}+1}  \tag{2.26}\\
& =\sum_{\substack{k_{1}, k_{2} \geq 0 \\
k_{1}+k_{2} \geq 1}} w_{1}^{k_{1}} w_{2}^{k_{2}} \operatorname{Res}_{z_{1}=0} \operatorname{Res}_{z_{2}=0} \frac{x\left(z_{1}\right)^{k_{1}}}{k_{1}!} \frac{x\left(z_{2}\right)^{k_{2}}}{k_{2}!} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(d_{z_{1}} x\left(z_{1}\right) d_{z_{2}} \log \left(1-z_{1} z_{2} \frac{z_{1}^{N-1}-z_{2}^{N-1}}{z_{1}-z_{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+d_{z_{2}} x\left(z_{2}\right) d_{z_{1}} \log \left(1-z_{1} z_{2} \frac{z_{1}^{N-1}-z_{2}^{N-1}}{z_{1}-z_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{k_{1}, k_{2} \geq 0 \\
k_{1}+k_{2} \geq 1}} w_{1}^{k_{1}} w_{2}^{k_{2}} \operatorname{Res}_{z_{1}=0}^{\operatorname{Res} z_{2}=0} \frac{x\left(z_{1}\right)^{k_{1}}}{k_{1}!} \frac{x\left(z_{2}\right)^{k_{2}}}{k_{2}!} \\
& \quad \cdot(N-1) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} z_{1}^{\alpha-2} z_{2}^{N-1-\alpha} d z_{1} d z_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

The latter expression coincides with (2.24), which implies the statement of the lemma.

These computations complete the proof of the theorem.

### 2.3. Hypergeometric KP tau function

Consider the generating function $\mathcal{Z}$ for the rooted hypermaps

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}:=\exp \left(\sum_{g \geq 0} \epsilon^{2 g-2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n} \geq 1} \mathrm{RHM}_{g, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{t}_{k_{i}}\right) . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function is a KP tau function of hypergeometric type [26] and it can be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}=\left.\left(\sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}\left(\left\{\mathbf{p}_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}\right) s_{\lambda}\left(\left\{\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}\right) \prod_{(i, j) \in \lambda}(1+\epsilon(i-j))\right)\right|_{\substack{\mathbf{p}_{i}=i \mathrm{t}_{i} / \epsilon, i \geq 1 \\ \mathbf{p}_{i}=\delta_{i N} / \epsilon, i \geq 1}} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the sum is taken over the Young diagrams $\lambda$, and $s_{\lambda}\left(\left\{\mathrm{p}_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}\right)$ and $s_{\lambda}\left(\left\{\tilde{p}_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}\right)$ are the Schur functions considered in the power sums variables $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \ldots$ and $\tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{1}, \tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{2}, \ldots$, respectively.

Corollary 7. The restriction of the total descendant potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathcal{D}\right|_{\substack{t_{d}^{1}=(d+1)!t_{d+1}, d \geq 0 \\ t^{\alpha, d}=\delta^{\alpha, N} \delta^{d, 0}, \alpha=2, \ldots, N ; d \geq 0}} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a hypergeometric KP tau-function given by (2.28).
Remark 8. The function $\mathcal{Z}$ is expanded in the power sum variables $\mathrm{p}_{i}, i \geq 1$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}=\exp \left(\sum_{g \geq 0} \epsilon^{2 g-2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n} \geq 1} \mathrm{OSMH}_{g, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{p}_{i}\right) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{OSMH}_{g, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}}$ are the so-called $N$-orbifold strictly monotone Hurwitz numbers, see e.g. [27, 2].

## 3. Period vectors and vertex operators

Following the presentation in [13, Section 5], it is convenient to think of the superpotential $\lambda=f\left(p, t_{s p}\right)=p^{N-1}+p^{-1}$ as a covering $\mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defining a multi-valued function $p=p\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)$ defined on the $\lambda$-plane. To fix the choices needed to describe explicitly the period vector we introduce cuts in the $\lambda$ plane. The analysis of this section is valid in a neighbourhood of the special point $t_{s p}$, with explicit computations performed only at $t_{s p}$.

### 3.1. Cuts in the $\lambda$-plane and one-point cycles

Recall that the critical points of $f\left(p, t_{s p}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}=(N-1)^{-1 / N} \exp (2 \pi i \cdot i / N), \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the values of the canonical coordinates are equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{i}=f\left(c_{i}, t_{s p}\right)=N(N-1)^{\frac{1}{N}-1} \exp \left(-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \frac{i}{N}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The half-line $\ell_{i}:=e^{2 \pi i \frac{i}{N}} \mathbb{R}_{+}$passing through the critical point $c_{i}$ covers twice the half-line $\tilde{\ell}_{i}:=u^{i}+e^{-2 \pi i \frac{i}{N}} \mathbb{R}_{+}$, where $i=1, \ldots, N$. Let us denote by $D_{i-1}$ the sector with $2 \pi \frac{i-1}{N}<\underset{\tilde{\log }}{\operatorname{ar}}(p)<2 \pi \frac{i}{N}$ cut out by $\ell_{i}$ and $\ell_{i-1}$. Clearly two preimages of a point $\lambda$ of $\tilde{\ell}_{i}$ are contained on $\ell_{i}$ and tend to zero and $\infty$ respectively when $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$; the remaining $N-2$ preimages are contained in the sectors $D_{j}$ with $j \neq i, i-1$. Each sector $D_{i}$ is mapped biholomorphically to the complex plane minus $\tilde{\ell}_{i} \cup \tilde{\ell}_{i+1}$. Let $\gamma_{i}$ be the deck transformation induced by a small path going counterclockwise around the critical value $u^{i}$; then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{i}: D_{i-1} \leftrightarrow D_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $\gamma_{i}$ leaves invariant $D_{j}$ with $j \neq i, i-1$. We denote by $p_{j}(\lambda)$ the preimage of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{\cup_{i} \tilde{\ell}_{i}\right\}$ in $D_{j}, j=0, \ldots, N-1$, with the additional convention that $D_{N}=D_{0}$ and $p_{N}(\lambda)=p_{0}(\lambda)$. We denote by $p_{j}(\lambda)$ also its multivalued analytic continuation on $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right\}$.

### 3.2. Period vectors

We define the one-point period vectors $I_{p_{i}}^{(0)}(\lambda, t)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{p_{i}}^{(0)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)\right)^{\alpha}:=\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha \beta} \int_{p_{i}} \frac{\partial f\left(p, t_{s p}\right)}{\partial t^{\beta}} \frac{d p}{d_{p} f\left(p, t_{s p}\right)}=-\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial p_{i}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)}{\partial t^{\beta}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=0, \ldots, N-1$. The middle part of the equation above comes from singularity where one views $p_{i}$ as an element of $H_{0}\left(f^{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ for some $\lambda_{0}$ in the cut plane, but it suffices for us to simply use the right hand side as a definition. They are single-valued on the cut $\lambda$-plane and have the same monodromy as the one-point cycles described above.

We define the period vectors $I_{e_{i}}^{(-1)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right), i=1, \ldots, N$ corresponding to vanishing cycles as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{e_{i}}^{(-1)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)\right)^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha \beta} \int_{p_{i-1}(\lambda)}^{p_{i}(\lambda)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t^{\beta}}\left(p, t_{s p}\right) d p \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration path in the p-plane is contained in $D_{i-1} \cup D_{i}$. Here $e_{i}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ so that we can define $I_{a}:=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i} I_{e_{i}}$ for any $a=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i} e_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$ 。

Notice that we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(I_{e_{i}}^{(-1)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)\right)^{\alpha}= & \left(I_{p_{i}}^{(-1)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-\left(I_{p_{i-1}}^{(-1)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)\right)^{\alpha}  \tag{3.6}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha \beta} \int_{u_{i-1}}^{u_{i}} \frac{\partial f\left(p_{i-1}\left(\rho, t_{s p}\right), t_{s p}\right)}{\partial t^{\beta}} \frac{1}{\partial_{p} f\left(p_{i-1}\left(\rho, t_{s p}\right), t_{s p}\right)} d \rho
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{p_{i}}^{(-1)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)\right)^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha \beta} \int_{u_{i}}^{\lambda} \frac{\partial f\left(p_{i}\left(\rho, t_{s p}\right), t_{s p}\right)}{\partial t^{\beta}} \frac{1}{\partial_{p} f\left(p_{i}\left(\rho, t_{s p}\right), t_{s p}\right)} d \rho \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the integrand behaves like $\left(\rho-u_{i}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ near the critical point $u_{i}$, so the integrals above are convergent.

One can easily check that $\partial_{\lambda} I_{p_{i}}^{(-1)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)=I_{p_{i}}^{(0)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)$ and this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{e_{i}}^{(0)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right):=\partial_{\lambda} I_{e_{i}}^{(-1)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)=I_{p_{i}}^{(0)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)-I_{p_{i-1}}^{(0)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i=1, \ldots, N$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{e_{i}}^{(\ell)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right):=\left(\partial_{\lambda}\right)^{\ell+1} I_{e_{i}}^{(-1)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right), \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for $\ell<0$, the operator $\partial_{\lambda}^{-1}$ in this formula denotes integration along a path in the cut $\lambda$-plane from $u_{i}$ to $\lambda$.
Remark 9. It can be checked that the period vectors $I_{e_{i}}^{(\ell)}$ solve the (generalized) Fuchsian equation associated to the Frobenius manifold and have the correct asymptotic behaviour in the neighbourhood of the critical values $u_{i}$. These are respectively given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{U}-\lambda) \frac{\partial I^{(\ell)}}{\partial \lambda}=\left(\mu+\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right) I^{(\ell)} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{e_{i}}^{(\ell)}=\frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \Gamma(\ell+1 / 2)\left(\lambda-u^{i}\right)^{-\ell-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Psi^{-1} e_{i}+O\left(\lambda-u^{i}\right)\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\lambda \sim u^{i}$. Notice that a general argument for the fact that the period vectors solve the Fuchsian system follows from the computation of their asymptotic behaviour at $\lambda \sim \infty$, see Remark 15. (See [10] for $N=2$ and [13] for more details on the general Fuchsian system associated to a Frobenius manifold.)

Notice moreover that the period vectors $I_{p_{i}}^{(0)}(\lambda, t)$ in general do not solve the Fuchsian system.

For future reference it is important to compute the period vector corresponding to $w=\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_{i}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{w}^{(-1)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)\right)^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{p_{i-1}(\lambda)}^{p_{i}(\lambda)} \eta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t^{\beta}}\left(p, t_{s p}\right) d p \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the topology of the covering described in Section 3.1, we see that this formula is equal to an integral in the $p$-plane along a contour homotopy equivalent to the positively oriented loop around $p=0$. Notice also that

$$
\eta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t^{\beta}}\left(p, t_{s p}\right)= \begin{cases}(N-1) p^{\alpha-2} & \alpha=2, \ldots, N-1  \tag{3.13}\\ p^{-1} & \alpha=1 \\ p^{-2} & \alpha=N .\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, $\left(I_{w}^{(-1)}\left(\lambda, t_{s p}\right)\right)^{\alpha}=\pi \mathrm{i} \delta_{1}^{\alpha}$.

### 3.3. Monodromy period vectors and choice of orbit

The monodromy of the period vectors $I_{e_{i}}^{(0)}=I_{p_{i}}^{(0)}-I_{p_{i-1}}^{(0)}$ is determined by the monodromy of the one-point cycle period vectors which coincides with the monodromy of the one-point cycles. The fundamental group of the pointed $\lambda$ plane $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{u^{1}, \ldots, u^{N}\right\}\right)$ acts by associating with $I_{v}^{(0)}$ its analytic continuation $\gamma_{i} I_{v}^{(0)}$ along the path $C \circ \gamma_{i} \circ C^{-1}$ where $C$ is a path from $\lambda_{0}$ to $\lambda$. We have that $\gamma_{i} I_{v}^{(0)}=I_{\gamma_{i} v}^{(0)}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{i} e_{j}=e_{j}-2 G_{i j} e_{i} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the intersection matrix $G$ is given by $G_{11}=\cdots=G_{N N}=1$, and $G_{i j}=$ $-1 / 2$ for $i=j \pm 1$ and for $i=1, j=N$ and $i=N, j=1$. The intersection matrix $G_{i j}$ defines a pairing $\left.<e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle=G_{i j}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{N}=\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N}\right\rangle$.

Our goal is to choose a reasonably small orbit of the action of the monodromy group. To this end, let $w=\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_{i}$ and consider the vectors
$v_{0}, \ldots, v_{N-1} \in\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N-1}\right\rangle$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}:=\sum_{j=1}^{i} j e_{j}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{N-1}(j-N) e_{j} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 10. Note that in the view of the identity $I_{e_{i}}^{(0)}=I_{p_{i}}^{(0)}-I_{p_{i-1}}^{(0)}$ it might be natural to realize the space $\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N-1}\right\rangle$ as a subspace of $\left\langle p_{0}, \ldots, p_{N-1}\right\rangle$ such that $e_{i}=p_{i}-p_{i-1}$, and in this bigger space the formulas for $v_{i}$ read

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}:=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\left(p_{i}-p_{j}\right)=N p_{i}-\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_{j} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\gamma_{i} w=w, \gamma_{i}: v_{i-1} \leftrightarrow v_{i}$ and $\gamma_{i} v_{k}=v_{k}, k \neq i-1, i$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$. In particular, the set $\left\{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{N-1}\right\} \subset\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N-1}\right\rangle$ is closed under the subgroup of the monodromy group generated by $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{N-1}$. Notice in particular that $\gamma_{N} v_{i}=v_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N-2, \gamma_{N} v_{N-1}=v_{0}+N w$ and $\gamma_{N} v_{0}=v_{N-1}-N w$.

The whole orbit under the monodromy group that we consider is given by $\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{N-1}\right\}+N \mathbb{Z} w$, and the nontrivial actions of $\gamma_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$, can be schematically represented as


### 3.4. The function $\mathcal{W}$

Given $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$ we define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}_{a, b}(t, \lambda)=\left(I_{a}^{(0)}(t, \lambda), I_{b}^{(0)}(t, \lambda)\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fundamental group of the pointed plane acts on the integral of $\mathcal{W}_{a, a}$ as follows

Lemma 11. For $a \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{i}\left(\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{a, a} d \rho\right)=  \tag{3.19}\\
& \int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{i} a, \gamma_{i} a} d \rho+4<a, e_{i}>\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{u^{i}} \mathcal{W}_{\pi_{i} a, e_{i}} d \rho+\pi \mathrm{i}<a, e_{i}>^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\pi_{i} a=\left(a+\gamma_{i} a\right) / 2$ is the projection onto the hyperplane invariant under $\gamma_{i}$. This is a general formula valid for arbitrary Frobenius manifolds (with $I_{a}^{(0)}(t, \lambda)$ being the normalized solutions of Dubrovin's Fuchsian system (3.10), see [13, Section 5]), once we have $\left\langle e_{i}, e_{i}\right\rangle=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$. The proof is reduced to a local analysis of the action of the monodromy and paths of the integration.

### 3.5. A choice of orbit and covariant coefficients

Let $\bar{O}$ be the orbit of the action of the monodromy group given by $\bar{O}:=$ $\left\{\left.\frac{2}{N}\left(v_{i}+\ell N w\right) \right\rvert\, i=0, \ldots, N-1, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. Our goal is to assign to the vectors of the orbit $\bar{O}$ certain coefficients that are covariant with respect to the action of the monodromy group. The peculiar choice of the coefficient $2 / N$ in front of $v_{i}$ will become clear later, in the proof of Lemma 12, cf. Equation (3.24).

We define the coefficients $c_{i, \ell}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i, \ell}(\lambda):=d_{i, \ell} \exp \left[-\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}, \frac{2}{N} v_{i}} d \lambda\right], \quad i=0, \ldots, N-1 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the integral from $\lambda_{0}$ to $\lambda$ is taken in the cut $\lambda$-plane) with

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{i, \ell}:=  \tag{3.21}\\
& \exp \left[-\int_{p_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}^{p_{i}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}\left(I_{2 p-\frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j}(\lambda(p))}^{(0)}(t, \lambda), I_{2 p-\frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j}(\lambda(p))}^{(0)}(t, \lambda)\right) d \lambda(p)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{2 p-\frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j}(\lambda(p))}^{(0)}(t, \lambda)$ is the corresponding linear combination of onepoint cycle period vectors defined as in (3.4).

We have to specify the contour in this definition. Consider a disk in the $p$-plane around the finite pole 0 of $f\left(p, t_{s p}\right)=p^{N-1}+p^{-1}$ and all its critical points, with the points $p_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}\right), \ldots, p_{N-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ on its boundary (the points are ordered according to the counterclockwise direction of its boundary). The contour connecting $p_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ and $p_{i}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ in the definition of $d_{i, \ell}$ first rotates $\ell$ times along the boundary of this disk ( $\ell$ times in the positive direction for $\ell \geq 0$, and $-\ell$ times in the negative direction for $\ell<0$ ), and then goes from $p_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ to $p_{i}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ along the boundary in the positive direction.

## Lemma 12.

(1) The function $\frac{2}{N}\left(v_{i}+\ell N w\right) \mapsto c_{i, \ell}$ is covariant on the orbit $\bar{O}$, that is, we have $\gamma_{i}: c_{i-1, \ell} \leftrightarrow c_{i, \ell}, i=1, \ldots, N-1$, and $\gamma_{N}: c_{N-1, \ell} \leftrightarrow c_{0, \ell+1}$, and all other actions of $\gamma_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$ on $c_{j, \ell}, j=0, \ldots, N-1$, are trivial.
(2) We have $c_{i, \ell}=c_{i, 0} \exp \left(-\frac{2}{N} 2 \pi i \ell\right)$.

Proof. The fact that $\gamma_{i+1} c_{i, \ell}=c_{i+1, \ell}, i=0, \ldots, N-2$, and $\gamma_{N} c_{N-1, \ell}=c_{0, \ell+1}$ follows directly from the definition.

Since $\lambda=p^{N-1}+a_{2} p^{N-3}+\cdots+a_{N-1}+a_{N} /\left(p-a_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} p_{i}(\lambda, t)=a_{1}=t^{1} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\left(I_{p}^{(0)}(\lambda, t)\right)^{\alpha}\right|_{p=p_{i}(\lambda)}=-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{N}^{\alpha} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{2 p-\frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j}(\lambda(p))}^{(0)}(t, \lambda), I_{2 p-\frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j}(\lambda(p))}^{(0)}(t, \lambda)\right) d \lambda  \tag{3.24}\\
& =\frac{\partial p}{\partial t^{\alpha}} \eta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t^{\beta}} d \lambda-\frac{2}{N} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t^{N}} d \lambda \\
& =d \log \left(\frac{d \lambda}{d p}\right)+\frac{2}{N} \frac{d p}{p-t^{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

(the second equality here is an explicit computation at $t_{s p}$ ).
Since $\oint d \log (d f / d p) \in 2 \pi \mathrm{i} \mathbb{Z}$ for any closed contour in the $p$-plane and $\oint d p /\left(p-t^{1}\right)=2 \pi \mathrm{i}$ for any closed contour that bounds a disk containing the
finite pole of $f$ in the $p$-plane, we see that indeed $c_{i, \ell}=c_{i, 0} \exp \left(-\frac{2}{N} 2 \pi i \ell\right)$, $i=0, \ldots, N-1$.

The assertion that $\gamma_{i+1} c_{i+1, \ell}=c_{i, \ell}, i=0, \ldots, N-1$, and $\gamma_{N} c_{0, \ell}=$ $c_{N-1, \ell-1}$, follows from the fact that the residues of (3.24) at the critical points of $\lambda(p, t)$ in the $p$-plane are integers. Finally, all other actions of $\gamma_{i}$ on $c_{j, \ell}$ are trivial since they are absorbed by the deformations of the integration contours that do not cross the poles of (3.24).

### 3.6. The vertex operators

For a vector $a \in \mathbb{C}^{N}=\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N}\right\rangle$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{f}_{a}(t, \lambda, z):=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} I_{a}^{(l)}(t, \lambda)(-z)^{l} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Gamma^{a}$ denote the vertex operator defined as the exponential of the quantization of linear Hamiltonian $h_{\mathfrak{f}_{a}}$ of $\mathfrak{f}_{a}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{a}:=e^{\widehat{\mathfrak{f}_{a}}}=e^{\widehat{\left(f_{a}\right)}-} e^{\widehat{\left(f_{a}\right)_{+}}} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.7. Asymptotics at $\lambda \sim u^{i}$

Let us define

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{f}_{K d V}(\lambda, z) & =\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} I_{K d V}^{(\ell)}(\lambda)(-z)^{\ell}  \tag{3.27}\\
I_{K d V}^{(\ell)}(\lambda) & =\partial_{\lambda}^{\ell}(2 \lambda)^{-1 / 2}=\frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \Gamma(\ell+1 / 2) \lambda^{-\ell-\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

One can prove that for $i=1, \ldots, N$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{f}_{e_{i}}(t, \lambda, z)=\Psi^{-1}(t) R(t, z) \mathfrak{f}_{K d V}\left(\lambda-u^{i}, z\right) e_{i} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\lambda \sim u^{i}$. In the previous expression the coefficients of $z^{l}$ are seen as Laurent series in $\left(\lambda-u^{i}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
Remark 13. The asymptotic formula (3.28) was proved in the case $N=2$ [10] by an explicit comparison of the expressions for $R$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{e_{i}}$ at $t=t_{s p}$. Notice however that such result can be proved in general by using the fact that the periods can be obtained as Laplace transform of the solutions to the deformed flatness equation (1.24), see [13].

### 3.8. Asymptotics at $\lambda \sim \infty$

Let $\log \lambda$ be the main branch of the logarithm defined for the cut $\mathbb{R}_{+}$in the $\lambda$-plane. Define $I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)}, i=1, \ldots, N-1$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)}\right)^{\alpha} & =\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{(\alpha-N) /(N-1)}, \quad \alpha=2, \ldots, N-1  \tag{3.29}\\
\left(I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)}\right)^{1} & =\frac{N}{2(N-1)} \lambda^{-1}, \\
\left(I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)}\right)^{N} & =\frac{1}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and $I_{w, \infty}^{(0)}=0$, where we recall that $w=\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_{i}$. Here the index $i$ of $e_{i}$ directs the choice of the branch of the logarithm:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \lambda=\log \lambda+2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1), \quad \lambda^{1 /(N-1)}=\exp \left(\frac{1}{N-1} \log \lambda\right) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now define, for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i=1, \ldots, N$,

$$
I_{e_{i}, \infty}^{(\ell)}:=\left(\partial_{\lambda}\right)^{\ell} I_{e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)},
$$

where, for $\ell<0$, we use the following convention for the formal integration without constants:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{\lambda}^{-m}\right) \lambda^{-1}=\frac{1}{m!} \lambda^{m}(\log \lambda-\mathfrak{h}(m)), \quad m \geq 0 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(I_{w, \infty}^{(-1)}\right)^{\alpha}=\pi \mathrm{i} \delta_{1}^{\alpha}$, so that we have

$$
\left(I_{w, \infty}^{(\ell)}\right)^{\alpha}=\pi \mathrm{i} \delta_{1}^{\alpha} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!}, \quad \ell \geq 0
$$

We also define

$$
\mathfrak{f}_{e_{i}, \infty}(\lambda, z):=\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} I_{e_{i}, \infty}^{(\ell)}(-z)^{\ell}
$$

Let $\Gamma_{\infty}^{v}$, for $v \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$, denote the vertex operator defined as quantisation of $\mathfrak{f}_{a, \infty}$ as above:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\infty}^{v}:=e^{\widehat{f_{v, \infty}}}=e^{\left(\widehat{\left.f_{v, \infty}\right)}\right.} e^{\left(\widehat{\left.f_{v, \infty}\right)}+\right.} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, note that $\Gamma_{\infty}^{w}=e^{\left(\widehat{\left.\mathfrak{f}_{w, \infty}\right)}-\right.}$.

Lemma 14. For $v \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$, the asymptotic expansion of $\mathfrak{f}_{v}$ for $|\lambda| \sim \infty$, $\arg \lambda \neq 0$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{f}_{v}(t, \lambda, z) \sim S(t, z) \mathfrak{f}_{v, \infty}(\lambda, z) \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}}^{(0)}(t, \lambda) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} S_{k} I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(k)}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N-1 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is sufficient to do this in a neighborhood of the special point $t_{s p}$. In this formula, the right hand side is a function on the $\lambda$-plane with a branch cut along $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. For the left hand side we deform the cuts $\tilde{\ell}_{i}, i=0, \ldots, N-1$ in such a way that they all become asymptotic in the upper half plane to $\mathbb{R}_{+}$as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ in decreasing order $\tilde{\ell}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\ell}_{N}$ in $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)$.

Equation (3.34) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\beta}}\left(p_{i}(\lambda, t)-p_{0}(\lambda, t)\right) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}\left(S_{k}\right)_{\beta}^{\alpha} \partial_{\lambda}^{k}\left(I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)}\right)^{\beta}, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where by $p_{j}, j=0, \ldots, N-1$, we mean the point that is in the preimage of $\lambda=f(p, t)$ in the disk $D_{j}$ (here we use that $t$ is close to $t_{s p}$ and we use the deformation of the cuts chosen in Section 3.1).

Recall the definition of $S$ given in Equation (1.23), Section 1.2.2. With this definition, it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{i}(\lambda, t)-p_{0}(\lambda, t)\right) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \theta_{\beta, k} \partial_{\lambda}^{k}\left(I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)}\right)^{\beta} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

up to the terms constant in $t$. Note that $p_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and in the corresponding sector we have (take into account the choice of the branch of $\log \lambda$ given in (3.30))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}=\frac{p_{i}^{-1}}{\left(f\left(p_{i}, t\right) / p_{i}^{N-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}} . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lagrange-Bürmann formula implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}-\lambda^{\frac{1}{N-1}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\frac{n}{N-1}} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} \frac{1}{n} f(p, t)^{\frac{n}{N-1}} d p \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \sum_{\beta=2}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\partial_{\lambda}\right)^{k} \lambda^{\frac{\beta-N}{N-1}}}{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(i-\frac{\beta-N}{N-1}\right)} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} \frac{f(p, t)^{k-\frac{\beta-N}{N-1}}}{(N-1)\left(k-\frac{\beta-N}{N-1}\right)} d p \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\partial_{\lambda}\right)^{k} \lambda^{-1}}{k!} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} \frac{f(p, t)^{k+1}}{(N-1)(k+1)} d p \\
= & -2 \sum_{\beta=2}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \theta_{\beta, k} \partial_{\lambda}^{k}\left(I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)}\right)^{\beta} \\
& -\frac{2}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \theta_{1, k} \partial_{\lambda}^{k}\left(I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)}\right)^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(and one can formally add $0 \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \theta_{N, k} \partial_{\lambda}^{k}\left(I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)}\right)^{N}$ to the latter expression). On the other hand, with $p_{0} \rightarrow t^{1}$ for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{-1}=\frac{p_{0}-t^{1}}{\left(p_{0}-t^{1}\right) f\left(p_{0}, t\right)} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the Lagrange-Bürmann formula implies

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{0}-t^{1} & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n} \underset{p=t^{1}}{\operatorname{Res}} \frac{1}{n}\left(\left(p_{0}-t^{1}\right) f\left(p_{0}, t\right)\right)^{n} \frac{d\left(p-t^{1}\right)}{\left(p-t^{1}\right)^{n}}  \tag{3.40}\\
& =-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\partial_{\lambda}\right)^{k} \lambda^{-1}}{k!} \underset{p=\infty}{\operatorname{Res}} \frac{1}{k+1} f\left(p_{0}, t\right)^{k+1} d p \\
& =\frac{2(N-1)}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \theta_{1, k} \partial_{\lambda}^{k}\left(I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)}\right)^{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note also that $\theta_{N, 0}=t^{1}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{1}=2 \theta_{N, 0}\left(I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)}\right)^{N}=2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \theta_{N, k} \partial_{\lambda}^{k}\left(I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)}\right)^{N} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $i=1, \ldots, N-1$.
Combining these computations, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{i}-p_{0}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \theta_{\beta, k} \partial_{\lambda}^{k}\left(I_{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}, \infty}^{(0)}\right)^{\beta}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N-1 \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the corresponding sector of expansion.

Remark 15. The large $|\lambda|$ behaviour of $\mathfrak{f}_{v}$ given in Lemma 14 actually implies that the periods $I_{v}^{(l)}$ satisfy the Fuchsian system. This can be seen, following the general approach of [31], by observing that such formal expansion is actually convergent in a neighbourhood of $\lambda \sim \infty$ and extends by analytic continuation to a multivalued function on $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{u^{1}, \ldots, u^{N}\right\}$.

### 3.9. Monodromy at $\infty$

For future reference, it is useful to collect together the explicit formulas for $\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{i}, \infty$ and the action of the monodromy around $\lambda=\infty$ on them. For $i=0$ we have:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlrl}
\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N}}^{N} v_{0}, \infty\right. \tag{3.43}
\end{array}\right)^{1}=\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}(-z)^{\ell} \partial_{\lambda}^{\ell}\left(-\partial_{\lambda} \log \lambda\right), \quad \alpha=2, \ldots, N-1,
$$

and for $i=1, \ldots, N-1$ we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}, \infty}\right)^{1}= & \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}(-z)^{\ell} \partial_{\lambda}^{\ell}\left(\frac{1}{N-1} \partial_{\lambda}(\log \lambda+2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1))\right),  \tag{3.44}\\
\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{i}, \infty\right)^{\alpha}= & \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}(-z)^{\ell} \partial_{\lambda}^{\ell}\left(\lambda^{\frac{\alpha-N}{N-1}} \exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1) \frac{\alpha-N}{N-1}\right)\right), \\
& \alpha=2, \ldots, N-1, \\
\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{i}, \infty\right)^{N}= & \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}(-z)^{\ell} \partial_{\lambda}^{\ell}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\gamma_{\infty}=\gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{N}$ denote the monodromy along a contour around $\lambda=\infty$ oriented in the counterclockwise direction. The action of $\gamma_{\infty}$ on $\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{i}, \infty$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\gamma_{\infty} \mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}, \infty}\right)^{1}=\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}, \infty}\right)^{1}-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}(-z)^{\ell} \partial_{\lambda}^{\ell+1} 1,  \tag{3.45}\\
& \left(\gamma_{\infty} \mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}, \infty}\right)^{\alpha}=\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}, \infty}\right)^{\alpha}, \\
& \alpha=2, \ldots, N,
\end{align*}
$$

or, in other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\infty} \mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}, \infty}=\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}, \infty}-2 \mathfrak{f}_{w, \infty} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $i=1, \ldots, N-2$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\infty} \mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}, \infty}=\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i+1}, \infty} \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finally

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\gamma_{\infty} \mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{N-1}, \infty\right)^{1}=\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{1}, \infty}\right)^{1}+2 \pi \mathrm{i} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}(-z)^{\ell} \partial_{\lambda}^{\ell+1} 1,  \tag{3.48}\\
& \left(\gamma_{\infty} \mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{N-1}, \infty\right)^{\alpha}=\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{1}, \infty\right)^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha=2, \ldots, N,
\end{align*}
$$

or, in other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\infty} \mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}, \infty}=\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{1}, \infty}+2 \mathfrak{f}_{w, \infty} \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.10. Conjugation by $S$

Let us now consider the conjugation of the vertex operator by the $S$ action of the Givental group. We define $\mathcal{W}_{a, b}^{\infty}=\left(I_{a, \infty}^{(0)}(t, \lambda), I_{b, \infty}^{(0)}(t, \lambda)\right), a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$. Notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{W}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}, \frac{2}{N} v_{0}}^{\infty}=\left(2-\frac{2}{N}\right) \frac{1}{\lambda}  \tag{3.50}\\
& \mathcal{W}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}, \frac{2}{N} v_{i}}^{\infty}=\left(1+\frac{1}{N-1}-\frac{2}{N}\right) \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N-1 \tag{3.51}
\end{align*}
$$

For our choice of calibration, we have the following result:
Proposition 16. For $a \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$, and $t=t_{s p}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S} \Gamma_{\infty}^{a} \hat{S}^{-1}=e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\lambda}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{W}_{a, a}-\mathcal{W}_{a, a}^{\infty}\right) d \rho} \Gamma^{a} . \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula that, for $\mathfrak{f}=$ $\sum_{l} \mathfrak{f}_{l} z^{l}$ in the loop space $\mathcal{V}$ and $S(z)$ in the twisted loop group, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S} \widehat{e^{\mathfrak{f}}} \hat{S}^{-1}=e^{\frac{1}{2} W\left(\mathfrak{f}_{+}, \mathfrak{f}_{+}\right)} \widehat{e^{S \mathfrak{f}}} \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(\mathfrak{f}_{+}, \mathfrak{f}_{+}\right)=\sum_{k, l \geq 0}\left(W_{k, l} \mathfrak{f}_{l}, \mathfrak{f}_{k}\right), \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the coefficients $W_{k, l} \in \operatorname{End}(V)$ are defined by the generating formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k, l \geq 0} W_{k, l} w^{-k} z^{-l}=\frac{S^{*}(w) S(z)-1}{w^{-1}+z^{-1}} \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need therefore to evaluate the phase factor $W\left(\left(\mathfrak{f}_{a, \infty}\right)_{+},\left(\mathfrak{f}_{a, \infty}\right)_{+}\right)$. It follows from the symplectic properties of $S$ and Lemma 14 that for any $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \lambda} W\left(\left(\mathfrak{f}_{a, \infty}\right)_{+},\left(\mathfrak{f}_{b, \infty}\right)_{+}\right)=-\mathcal{W}_{a, b}+\mathcal{W}_{a, b}^{\infty} \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side is a formal power series in powers of $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}$ with leading order $\lambda^{-1-\frac{1}{N-1}}$, so it can be integrated to a formal power series of the same type. The integration constant is obtained by computing the $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ limit in

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(\left(\mathfrak{f}_{a, \infty}\right)_{+},\left(\mathfrak{f}_{b, \infty}\right)_{+}\right)=\sum_{k, l \geq 0}(-1)^{k+l}\left(W_{k l} I_{a, \infty}^{(k)}, I_{b, \infty}^{(l)}\right) \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}\left(W_{00} I_{a, \infty}^{(0)}, I_{b, \infty}^{(0)}\right)=\left(S_{1}\right)_{N}^{1}\left(I_{a}^{(0)}\right)^{N}\left(I_{b}^{(0)}\right)^{N} \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the properties of $S$ and the asymptotics of the periods we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{1}\right)_{N}^{1}=0, \quad\left(I_{a}^{(0)}\right)^{N}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1}-a_{N}\right) \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. The Hirota quadratic equations

In this section we define the ancestor Hirota quadratic equations and prove that the ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies them. Then we prove the descendent Hirota quadratic equations for the descendant potential $\mathcal{D}$.

### 4.1. Definition of Hirota quadratic equations for the ancestor potential

Recall that the total ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}$, defined in (2.1), is a formal power series in the variables $q_{\ell}^{\alpha}+\delta_{N-1}^{a} \delta_{\ell}^{1}$ for $\alpha=1, \ldots, N$ and $\ell \geq 0$ whose coefficients are Laurent series in $\epsilon$, and which depends analytically on the point of $M$.

In the infinite orbit $\bar{O}$ of the monodromy group defined in the beginning of Section 3.5 we choose a finite subset $O:=\left\{\left.\frac{2}{N} v_{i} \right\rvert\, i=0, \ldots, N-1\right\}$, and we associate the functions $c_{i}=c_{i, 0}$ defined in Section 3.5 to the vectors in $O$.

Recall that $\left(I_{w}^{(-1)}\right)^{\alpha}=\pi \mathrm{i} \delta_{1}^{\alpha}$, hence $\left(I_{w}^{(-1)}\right)_{\alpha}=\pi \mathrm{i} \delta_{\alpha, N}$ (where $w=\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_{i}$ and we use the scalar product to lower the index). Define

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{N} & =\exp \left(-\sum_{(j, \ell) \neq(N, 0)} \frac{\left(I_{w}^{(-\ell-1)}\right)_{j} q_{\ell}^{j}}{\left(I_{w}^{(-1)}\right)_{N}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{0}^{N}}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
& =\exp \left(-\sum_{\substack{\ell \geq 1 \\
j=1, \ldots, N}} \frac{\left(I_{w}^{(-\ell-1)}\right)_{j} q_{\ell}^{j}}{\pi \mathrm{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{0}^{N}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 17. If $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)$, the following expression is a singlevalued 1-form in $\lambda$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N})\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_{i} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right)(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the two copies of $\mathcal{N}, \Gamma$, and $\mathcal{A}$ depend on the variables $q_{\ell}^{i}$ and $\bar{q}_{\ell}^{i}$ respectively.

Proof. We need to prove that (4.2) is invariant under the action of the generators $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}$ of the fundamental group of the pointed complex plane. Note that the coefficients of $\mathcal{N}$ are single-valued functions in $\lambda$. Indeed, since $I_{w}^{(-1)}$ is constant, all $I_{w}^{(-\ell-1)}, \ell \geq 0$, are polynomials in $\lambda$.

Note that for $i=1, \ldots, N-1$ we have $\gamma_{i}: O \rightarrow O, \gamma_{i}: c_{i-1} \leftrightarrow c_{i}$ and $\gamma_{i}: \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i-1}} \leftrightarrow \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}$, and the action of $\gamma_{i}$ on all other coefficients and vertex operators is trivial. Hence the action of $\gamma_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N-1$, is just a reshuffling of the summands in (4.2) which leaves (4.2) invariant.

For $\gamma_{N}$ we have $\gamma_{N}: c_{0} \mapsto c_{N-1} e^{\frac{2}{N} 2 \pi \mathbf{i}}$ and $\gamma_{N}: c_{N-1} \mapsto c_{0} e^{-\frac{2}{N} 2 \pi \mathbf{i}}$ and $\gamma_{N} c_{i} \mapsto c_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, N-2$, by Lemma 12. In the meanwhile, $\gamma_{N} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N}} v_{i}=$ $\Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, N-2$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{N} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}}=\Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}-2 w}=e^{-2 \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \gamma_{N} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}}=\Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}+2 w}=e^{2 \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the definition (3.26) of the vertex operators $\Gamma$ and the fact that $\left(\mathfrak{f}_{w}\right)_{+}=0$ for the second equalities. Therefore, the action of $\gamma_{N}$ on (4.2) is
given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{N}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N}) & \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_{i} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right)(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda  \tag{4.4}\\
=(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N}) & \left(e^{-\frac{2}{N} 2 \pi \mathrm{i}}\left(e^{2 \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}} \otimes e^{-2 \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}}\right) c_{0} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{0}}\right. \\
& +e^{\frac{2}{N} 2 \pi \mathrm{i}}\left(e^{-2 \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}} \otimes e^{2 \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}}\right) c_{N-1} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}} \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} c_{i} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right)(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda
\end{align*}
$$

Now note that $\hat{f}_{w}=\epsilon^{-1} \sum_{\ell \geq 0}\left(I_{w}^{(-\ell-1)}\right)_{\alpha} q_{\ell}^{\alpha}$, and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N} e^{ \pm 2 \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}}=e^{ \pm 2 \pi \mathrm{i} \epsilon^{-1} q_{0}^{N}} \mathcal{N} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the right hand side of (4.4) is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N}-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}\right)}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N}) c_{0} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{0}}\right.  \tag{4.6}\\
& +e^{-\frac{2 \pi i}{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N}-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}\right)}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N}) c_{N-1} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}} \\
& \left.+\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N-2} c_{i} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right)(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda
\end{align*}
$$

Under the condition that $2 \pi \mathrm{i}^{-1}\left(q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N}-\epsilon \frac{2}{N}\right) \in 2 \pi \mathrm{i} \mathbb{Z}$ this expression is equal to (4.2), which proves the invariance under the action of $\gamma_{N}$.

Lemma 17 implies that Expression (4.2) restricted to $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)$ is a formal power series in the variables $q_{\ell}^{\alpha}+\delta_{N-1}^{a} \delta_{\ell}^{1}$ and $\bar{q}_{\ell}^{\alpha}+\delta_{N-1}^{a} \delta_{\ell}^{1}$ for $\alpha=1, \ldots, N, \ell \geq 0$ and a Laurent series in $\epsilon$ whose coefficients are rational functions of $\lambda$ with possible poles at the points $\lambda=u^{1}, \ldots, u^{N}, \infty$.

Definition 18. We say that the ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the ancestor Hirota quadratic equations for the set $O$ if the aforementioned dependence on $\lambda$ is polynomial, that is, if there are no poles at $\lambda=u^{1}, \ldots, u^{N}$.

### 4.2. Proof of the ancestor Hirota equations

Theorem 19. The ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the ancestor Hirota quadratic equations.

Proof. Let us prove that (4.2) is regular at $\lambda \rightarrow u^{1}$ (the cases of $\lambda \rightarrow$ $u^{2}, \ldots, u^{N-1}$ are completely analogous, and $\lambda \rightarrow u^{N}$ is just a bit more special and is discussed below). The period vector $I_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}^{(\ell)}$ is holomorphic at $\lambda \rightarrow u^{1}$ for $i \neq 0,1, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, (4.2) is regular at $\lambda \rightarrow u^{1}$ if and only if the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N})\left(c_{0} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{0}}+c_{1} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{1}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{1}}\right)(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is single valued for $\lambda$ near $u^{1}$, is also regular at $\lambda \rightarrow u^{1}$. Since the factor $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ doesn't affect the regularity at $\lambda \rightarrow u^{1}$, it is sufficient to prove the regularity of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{0} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{0}}+c_{1} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{1}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{1}}\right)(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a neighborhood of $u^{1}$ where it is still single valued.
Since $\frac{2}{N} v_{0}=-e_{1}+v, \frac{2}{N} v_{1}=e_{1}+v$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{2-N}{N} e_{1}+\frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N-1}(j-N) e_{j} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $<e_{1}, v>=0$ implies that $I_{v}^{(\ell)}$ is holomorphic near $u^{1}$. By a computation similar to [22], we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{v \pm e_{1}}=e^{ \pm \int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{1}} d \rho} \Gamma^{v} \Gamma^{ \pm e_{1}}, \quad \Gamma^{-v \pm e_{1}}=e^{\mp \int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{1}} d \rho} \Gamma^{-v} \Gamma^{ \pm e_{1}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This allows to rewrite (4.8) as

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\Gamma^{v} \otimes \Gamma^{-v}\right)\left(c_{0} e^{-2 \int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{1}} d \rho} \Gamma^{-e_{1}} \otimes \Gamma^{e_{1}}\right.  \tag{4.11}\\
& \quad\left.\quad+c_{1} e^{2 \int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{1}} d \rho} \Gamma^{e_{1}} \otimes \Gamma^{-e_{1}}\right)(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \rho
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that $\Gamma^{v} \otimes \Gamma^{-v}$ doesn't affect the regularity at $\lambda \rightarrow u^{1}$, so it is sufficient to prove the regularity of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{0} e^{-2 \int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{1}} d \rho} \Gamma^{-e_{1}} \otimes \Gamma^{e_{1}}+c_{1} e^{2 \int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{1}} d \rho} \Gamma^{e_{1}} \otimes \Gamma^{-e_{1}}\right)(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \rho \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\mathcal{A}=\hat{\Psi} \hat{R} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \tau_{\mathrm{KdV}_{\mathrm{i}}}$. The well-known formula for the $\hat{R}$ conjugation of the vertex operators (see e.g. [22, Proposition 3]) together with the asymptotic formula (3.28) of $\mathfrak{f}_{e_{i}}$ for $\lambda \sim u^{1}$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{ \pm e_{1}} \hat{\Psi} \hat{R}=\hat{\Psi} \hat{R} e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda}\left(\mathcal{W}_{e_{1}, e_{1}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\rho-u^{1}}\right) d \rho} e^{ \pm \mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{KdV}}} \widehat{\left.\widehat{\left(\lambda-u^{1}\right.}, z\right) e_{1}} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log c_{0}-2 \int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{1}} d \rho+\int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda}\left(\mathcal{W}_{e_{1}, e_{1}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\rho-u^{1}}\right) d \rho  \tag{4.14}\\
& =C-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\lambda-u^{1}\right) \\
& \log c_{1}+2 \int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{1}} d \rho+\int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda}\left(\mathcal{W}_{e_{1}, e_{1}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\rho-u^{1}}\right) d \rho  \tag{4.15}\\
& =C-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\lambda-u^{1}\right)-\pi \mathrm{i}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
C= & -\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, v} d \rho-2 \int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda_{0}} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{1}} d \rho  \tag{4.16}\\
& +\int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda_{0}}\left(\mathcal{W}_{e_{1}, e_{1}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\rho-u^{1}}\right) d \rho+\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\lambda_{0}-u^{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we can rewrite (4.12) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\hat{\Psi} \hat{R} \otimes \hat{\Psi} \hat{R}) e^{C}\left(\left(\lambda-u^{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-f_{\mathrm{KdV}} \widehat{\left(\lambda-u^{1}, z\right) e_{1}}} \otimes e^{\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{KdV}} \widehat{\left(\lambda-u^{1}, z\right) e_{1}}}\right.  \tag{4.17}\\
& \left.-\left(\lambda-u^{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{f_{\mathrm{KdV}}\left(\lambda-u^{1}, z\right) e_{1}} \otimes e^{-\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{KdV}} \overline{\left(\lambda-u^{1}, z\right) e_{1}}}\right) \\
& \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \tau_{\mathrm{KdV}_{\mathrm{i}}} \otimes \prod_{i=1}^{N} \tau_{\mathrm{KdV}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right) d \lambda .
\end{align*}
$$

In this expression the regularity at $\lambda \rightarrow u^{1}$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\left(\lambda-u^{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-f_{\mathrm{KdV}} \widehat{\left(\lambda-u^{1}, z\right) e_{1}}} \otimes e^{\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{KdV}} \widehat{\left(\lambda-u^{1}, z\right) e_{1}}}\right.  \tag{4.18}\\
& \left.-\left(\lambda-u^{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\left.f_{\mathrm{KdV}} \widehat{\left(\lambda-u^{1}\right.}, z\right) e_{1}} \otimes e^{-\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{KdV}} \widehat{\left(\lambda-u^{1}, z\right) e_{1}}}\right)\left(\tau_{\mathrm{KdV}_{1}} \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{KdV}_{1}}\right) d \lambda
\end{align*}
$$

is equivalent to the equations of the Korteweg-de Vries hierarchy for $\tau_{\mathrm{KdV}_{1}}$, and all other terms in (4.17) are regular at $\lambda \rightarrow u^{1}$.

Exactly the same argument proves regularity of (4.2) at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \rightarrow u^{2}, \ldots, u^{N-1} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, for $\lambda \rightarrow u^{N}$ we need some additional argument, where we have to use the operator $\mathcal{N}$ and the assumption $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)$.

Note that (4.2) is regular at $\lambda \rightarrow u^{N}$ if the following expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N})\left(c_{0} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{0}}\right.  \tag{4.20}\\
& \left.+c_{N-1} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}}\right)\left.(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda\right|_{q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

is regular in a neighborhood of $u^{N}$ where it is still single valued. Rewrite $\frac{2}{N} v_{0}, \frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}$ as $\frac{2}{N} v_{0}=e_{N}+v-w, \frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}=-e_{N}+v+w$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}(2 i-N) e_{i} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $<e_{N}, v>=0$ implies that $I_{v}^{(\ell)}$ is holomorphic at $u^{N}$. We use the argument with the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula as in Equation (4.3) and the following formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^{v \pm e_{1}} & =e^{ \pm \int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{1}} d \lambda} \Gamma^{v} \Gamma^{ \pm e_{1}}  \tag{4.22}\\
\Gamma^{-v \pm e_{1}} & =e^{\mp \int_{u^{1}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{1}} d \lambda} \Gamma^{-v} \Gamma^{ \pm e_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

in order to rewrite (4.20) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N})\left(\left(e^{-\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}} \otimes e^{\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}}\right) c_{0} e^{2 \int_{u^{N}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{N}} d \lambda}\left(\Gamma^{v} \otimes \Gamma^{-v}\right)\left(\Gamma^{e_{N}} \otimes \Gamma^{-e_{N}}\right)\right.  \tag{4.23}\\
& \left.+\left(e^{\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}} \otimes e^{-\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}}\right) c_{N-1} e^{-2 \int_{u^{N}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{N}} d \lambda}\left(\Gamma^{v} \otimes \Gamma^{-v}\right)\left(\Gamma^{-e_{N}} \otimes \Gamma^{e_{N}}\right)\right) \\
& \left.(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda\right|_{q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

We commute $(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N})$ with $\left(e^{-\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}} \otimes e^{\hat{f}_{w}}\right)$ in the first summand and with $\left(e^{\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}} \otimes e^{-\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{w}}\right)$ in the second and rewrite (4.23) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(e^{-\frac{\pi \mathrm{i}}{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}^{n}-\bar{q}_{0}^{n}\right)}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N}) c_{0} e^{2 \int_{u^{N}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{N}} d \lambda}\left(\Gamma^{v} \otimes \Gamma^{-v}\right)\left(\Gamma^{e_{N}} \otimes \Gamma^{-e_{N}}\right)\right.  \tag{4.24}\\
& \left.+e^{\frac{\pi \mathrm{i}}{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}^{n}-\bar{q}_{0}^{n}\right)}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N}) c_{N-1} e^{-2 \int_{u^{N}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{N}} d \lambda}\left(\Gamma^{v} \otimes \Gamma^{-v}\right)\left(\Gamma^{-e_{N}} \otimes \Gamma^{e_{N}}\right)\right) \\
& \left.(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda\right|_{q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)$ in the first factors in both summands, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(e^{-\frac{2 \pi i}{N}}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N}) c_{0} e^{2 \int_{u^{N}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{N}} d \lambda}\left(\Gamma^{v} \otimes \Gamma^{-v}\right)\left(\Gamma^{e_{N}} \otimes \Gamma^{-e_{N}}\right)\right.  \tag{4.25}\\
& \left.+e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{N}}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N}) c_{N-1} e^{-2 \int_{u^{N}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{N}} d \lambda}\left(\Gamma^{v} \otimes \Gamma^{-v}\right)\left(\Gamma^{-e_{N}} \otimes \Gamma^{e_{N}}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda\right|_{q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)} \\
& =e^{\frac{2 \pi \mathrm{i}}{N}}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N})\left(\Gamma^{v} \otimes \Gamma^{-v}\right)\left(c_{N-1} e^{-2 \int_{u^{N}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{N}} d \lambda}\left(\Gamma^{-e_{N}} \otimes \Gamma^{e_{N}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+c_{0,1} e^{2 \int_{u^{N}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{N}} d \lambda}\left(\Gamma^{e_{N}} \otimes \Gamma^{-e_{N}}\right)\right)\left.(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda\right|_{q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove the regularity of the latter expression at $\lambda \rightarrow u^{N}$, it is sufficient to prove the regularity of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(c_{N-1} e^{-2 \int_{u^{N}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{N}} d \lambda}\left(\Gamma^{-e_{N}} \otimes \Gamma^{e_{N}}\right)\right.  \tag{4.26}\\
& \left.+c_{0,1} e^{2 \int_{u^{N}}^{\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{v, e_{N}} d \lambda}\left(\Gamma^{e_{N}} \otimes \Gamma^{-e_{N}}\right)\right)(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda
\end{align*}
$$

which follows from exactly the same argument as the regularity of (4.12).

### 4.3. Definition of descendant Hirota equations

Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{\infty}:=\exp \left(-\sum_{(j, \ell) \neq(N, 0)} \frac{\left(I_{w, \infty}^{(-\ell-1)}\right)_{j} q_{\ell}^{j}}{\left(I_{w, \infty}^{(-1)}\right)_{N}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{0}^{N}}\right)=\exp \left(-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} q_{\ell}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{0}^{N}}\right) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the following identity holds

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \Gamma_{\infty}^{ \pm 2 w}=e^{\mp 2 \pi i \frac{q_{0}^{N}}{\epsilon}} \mathcal{N}_{\infty}
$$

We also define

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{0}^{\infty}:=-\lambda^{-2+\frac{2}{N}}  \tag{4.28}\\
& c_{i}^{\infty}:=\frac{\lambda^{-1-\frac{1}{N-1}+\frac{2}{N}}}{N-1} e^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1)\left(-1-\frac{1}{N-1}+\frac{2}{N}\right)}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N-1,
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the principal branch of the logarithm $\log \lambda$ for the definition of the fractional degrees of $\lambda$. Recall $\Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}=e^{\widehat{f_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}, \infty}}}=e^{\left(\frac{\left.f_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}, \infty}\right)}{}\right.} e^{\left(\frac{f^{2} v_{i}, \infty}{N}\right)}$. Consider the Hirota one-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\infty}=\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_{i}^{\infty} \Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right) \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} d \lambda \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the two copies of $\mathcal{D}$ depend on two different sets of variables, $\left\{q_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right\}$ respectively.

The vertex operators $\Gamma_{\infty}^{ \pm \frac{2}{N} v_{i}}$ are formal asymptotic series for $\lambda \sim \infty$, namely combinations of logarithm and fractional powers of $\lambda$. However, because the triviality of its monodromy at infinity, the one-form $\omega_{\infty}$ turns out to be single-valued as proved in the following lemma.

Lemma 20. For $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)$ the Hirota one-form $\omega_{\infty}$ is single valued in $\lambda$.

Proof. Recall explicit formulas in Section 3.9. Note also that

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{\infty} c_{0}^{\infty} & =c_{0}^{\infty} e^{\frac{2}{N} 2 \pi \mathrm{i}},  \tag{4.30}\\
\gamma_{\infty} c_{i}^{\infty} & =c_{i+1}^{\infty} \\
\gamma_{\infty} c_{N-1}^{\infty} & =c_{1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{2}{N} 2 \pi \mathrm{i}} .
\end{align*} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, N-2,
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { 31) } \gamma_{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{0}}\right) & =\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{-2 w} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{2 w}\right)\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{0}}\right)  \tag{4.31}\\
\gamma_{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right) & =\Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i+1}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i+1}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N-2, \\
\gamma_{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{N-1}}\right) & =\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{2 w} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-2 w}\right)\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{1}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{1}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{\infty} & {\left[\left.\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_{i}^{\infty} \Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right) \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} d \lambda\right|_{q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \epsilon \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)}\right] }  \tag{4.32}\\
= & {\left[\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\left(\sum_{i=2}^{N-1} c_{i}^{\infty} \Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right)\right.} \\
& +e^{\frac{2}{N} 2 \pi i}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\right)\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{-2 w} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{2 w}\right) c_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{0}}\right) \\
& \left.+e^{-\frac{2}{N} 2 \pi \mathrm{i}}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\right)\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{2 w} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-2 w}\right) c_{1}^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{1}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{1}}\right)\right] \\
& \left.\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} d \lambda\right|_{q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \epsilon \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{ \pm \frac{2}{N} 2 \pi \mathrm{i}}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\right)\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{\mp 2 w} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{ \pm 2 w}\right)=e^{ \pm \frac{2}{N} 2 \pi \mathrm{i} \mp \frac{2 \pi \mathrm{i}}{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N}\right)}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\right) \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence, taking into account the restriction to $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)$, we see that the right hand side of Equation (4.32) is indeed equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_{i}^{\infty} \Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right) \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} d \lambda\right|_{q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 21. We say that the descendant potential $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies the $d e-$ scendant Hirota quadratic equations if the Hirota one-form $\omega_{\infty}$ is regular at $\lambda \sim \infty$.

Regularity of $\omega_{\infty}$ means that the coefficients of the negative powers of $\lambda$ and of the monomials in $y_{d}^{\alpha}$ vanish, after the change of variables $x_{d}^{\alpha}=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{d}^{\alpha}+\bar{q}_{d}^{\alpha}\right)+\delta_{N-1}^{\alpha} \delta_{d}^{1}$ and $y_{d}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{d}^{\alpha}-\bar{q}_{d}^{\alpha}\right)$, with $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)$.

### 4.4. Proof of the descendant Hirota equations

The goal of this section is to prove
Theorem 22. The descendant potential $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies the descendant Hirota quadratic equations.

Proof. The descendant potential is given by $\mathcal{D}=C \hat{S}^{-1} \mathcal{A}$, where the latter three factors are computed at the same point of $M$. Though $\mathcal{D}$ does not depend on the choice of this point, it is convenient to use the special one, $t_{s p}$, then in particular $C=1$, and we can use the results on the ancestor Hirota quadratic equations for $\mathcal{A}$ proved in Section 4. So, all computations below are made specifically at the special point.

Coefficients and conjugation of $\hat{\boldsymbol{S}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\boldsymbol{\infty}}$ Using Proposition 16, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\hat{S} \otimes \hat{S})\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right)\left(\hat{S}^{-1} \otimes \hat{S}^{-1}\right)  \tag{4.35}\\
& =e^{\int_{\lambda}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{W}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}, \frac{2}{N} v_{i}}-\mathcal{W}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}, \frac{2}{N} v_{i}}^{\infty}\right) d \rho} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us prove that for $i=0, \ldots, N-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}^{\infty} e^{\int_{\lambda}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{W}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}, \frac{2}{N} v_{i}}-\mathcal{W}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}, \frac{2}{N} v_{i}}^{\infty}\right) d \rho}=c_{i} \cdot F, \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some common factor $F$ defined below. Recall an explicit computation in Lemma 12. We have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\log c_{i}= & -\int_{p_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}^{p_{i}(\lambda)}\left(d \log \left(\frac{d f(p)}{d p}\right)+\frac{2}{N} \frac{d p}{p}\right)  \tag{4.37}\\
= & -\left.\log \left(\frac{d f(p)}{d p}\right)\right|_{p=p_{i}(\lambda)}-\left.\frac{2}{N} \log p\right|_{p=p_{i}(\lambda)} \\
& +\left.\log \left(\frac{d f(p)}{d p}\right)\right|_{p=p_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}+\left.\frac{2}{N} \log p\right|_{p=p_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Define $-\log F$ as the sum of the last two summands. For the first two summands, using that $f(p)=p^{N-1}+p^{-1}$, we observe that for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\log \left(\frac{d f(p)}{d p}\right)\right|_{p=p_{i}(\lambda)}+\left.\frac{2}{N} \log p\right|_{p=p_{i}(\lambda)}  \tag{4.38}\\
& \sim \begin{cases}\log (N-1)+\left(1+\frac{1}{N-1}-\frac{2}{N}\right)(\log \lambda+2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1)), & i=1, \ldots, N-1 ; \\
\log (-1)+\left(2-\frac{2}{N}\right) \log \lambda, & i=0\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\lambda}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{W}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}, \frac{2}{N} v_{i}}-\mathcal{W}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}, \frac{2}{N} v_{i}}^{\infty}\right) d \lambda=  \tag{4.39}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{rr}
-\left.\log \left(\frac{d f(p)}{d p}\right)\right|_{p=p_{i}(\lambda)}-\left.\frac{2}{N} \log p\right|_{p=p_{i}}(\lambda) \\
+\log (N-1)+\left(1+\frac{1}{N-1}-\frac{2}{N}\right)(\log \lambda+2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1)), \\
-\left.\log \left(\frac{d f(p)}{d p}\right)\right|_{p=p_{0}(\lambda)}-\left.\frac{2}{N} \log p\right|_{p=p_{0}(\lambda)} & i=1, \ldots, N-1 ; \\
+\log (-1)+\left(2-\frac{2}{N}\right) \log \lambda, & i=0 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Now Equation (4.36) follows directly by substitution of Equations (4.28) and (4.37) on the left hand side and (4.39) on the right hand side. Thus we have the following equality of asymptotic series:

$$
\begin{align*}
&(\hat{S} \otimes \hat{S})\left(c_{i}^{\infty} \Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right)\left(\hat{S}^{-1} \otimes \hat{S}^{-1}\right)=F \cdot c_{i} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}  \tag{4.40}\\
& i=0, \ldots, N-1
\end{align*}
$$

Conjugation of $\hat{\boldsymbol{S}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { N }}_{\boldsymbol{\infty}}$ We recall [10, Lemma 39]. It is a universal statement whose proof doesn't use any specifics of the underlying Frobenius
manifold (except for the properties $I_{w, \infty}^{\ell}=0$ and $I_{w}^{\ell}=0$ for $\ell \geq 0$ that we do have in our case). In our case, exactly the same argument implies that we have the following equality of asymptotic series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \hat{S}^{-1}=Q T \mathcal{N} \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is an exponential of a linear combination of terms $\epsilon^{-2} q_{\ell}^{i} q_{m}^{j}$ with the coefficients polynomial in $\lambda$, and $T$ is an exponential of a linear vector field in $q_{\ell}^{i}$ with constant coefficients that does not contain differentiation $\partial / \partial q_{0}^{N}$.

Final steps of the proof Equations (4.40) and (4.41) imply that we can rewrite (4.34) as the asymptotic series expansion at $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ of the following expression:
$\left.F(Q \otimes Q)(T \otimes T)(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N})\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_{i} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right)(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda\right|_{q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)}$.
Since the operator $F(Q \otimes Q)(T \otimes T)$ does not contain derivatives with respect to $q_{0}^{N}$ and $\bar{q}_{0}^{N}$, we can rewrite the above expression as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.(F(Q \otimes Q)(T \otimes T))\right|_{q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \epsilon \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)}  \tag{4.43}\\
& \left.\cdot\left((\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N})\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_{i} \Gamma^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right)(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) d \lambda\right)\right|_{q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark that the operator $F(Q \otimes Q)(T \otimes T)$ restricted to $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \in \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{2}{N}\right)$ is an invertible operator that preserves the polynomiality in $\lambda$, and that by Theorem 19 the second line of (4.43) is polynomial in $\lambda$ in the sense of Definition 21. This implies that (4.34) is polynomial in $\lambda$ in the sense of Definition 21: this finishes the proof that the descendant potential $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies the descendant Hirota quadratic equations.

### 4.5. Explicit form of the descendant Hirota equations

In this section we use the formulas for $\mathfrak{f}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}, \infty}, i=0, \ldots, N-1$, computed above, as well as the quantization rules, in order to rewrite the descendant Hirota quadratic equations more explicitly.

Corollary 23. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for any $n \geq 0$ the descendant potential $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\infty} \lambda^{n-1} d \lambda\left[-\lambda^{-k} \times\right.  \tag{4.44}\\
& \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!}\left(q_{\ell}^{1}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}\right)+\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \mathfrak{h}(\ell)\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right)\right) \times \\
& \mathcal{D}\left(\left\{q_{\ell}^{1}+\epsilon \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{\ell+1}}\right\}_{\ell \geq 0},\left\{q_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right\}_{\substack{\ell, \ldots, \ldots, N-1}}^{\ell \geq 0},\right. \\
& \left.q_{0}^{N}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} q_{\ell}^{N},\left\{q_{\ell}^{N}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}\right) \times \\
& \mathcal{D}\left(\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}-\epsilon \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{\ell+1}}\right\}_{\ell \geq 0},\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right\}_{\substack{\ell \geq 2, \ldots, N-1}}^{\ell},\right. \\
& \left.\bar{q}_{0}^{N}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}, \quad\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{N-1} \lambda^{\frac{k}{N-1}} \exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1) \frac{k}{N-1}\right) \times \\
& \exp \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N} \frac{\lambda^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!}\left(q_{\ell}^{1}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}\right)\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}+\ell}}{(N-1)\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{(\ell+1)}} \times \\
& \exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1) \frac{1-\alpha}{N-1}\right)\left(q_{\ell}^{\alpha}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{h}(\ell)}{N-1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!}\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right)\right) \times \\
& \mathcal{D}\left(\left\{q_{\ell}^{1}-\epsilon \frac{1}{N-1} \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{\ell+1}}\right\}_{\ell \geq 0},\right. \\
& \left\{q_{\ell}^{\alpha}-\epsilon\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{\ell} \lambda^{\frac{\alpha-N}{N-1}-\ell} \exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1) \frac{\alpha-N}{N-1}\right)\right\}_{\substack{\alpha=2, \ldots, N-1}},
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.q_{0}^{N}-\epsilon \frac{1}{N}-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} q_{\ell}^{N},\left\{q_{\ell}^{N}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}\right) \times \\
& \mathcal{D}\left(\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}+\epsilon \frac{1}{N-1} \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{\ell+1}}\right\}_{\ell \geq 0},\right. \\
&\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{\alpha}+\epsilon\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{\ell} \lambda^{\frac{\alpha-N}{N-1}-\ell} \exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1) \frac{\alpha-N}{N-1}\right)\right\}_{\substack{\alpha=2, \ldots, N-1 \\
\ell \geq 0}}, \\
&\left.\left.\bar{q}_{0}^{N}+\epsilon \frac{1}{N}-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \bar{q}_{\ell}^{N},\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N}=\epsilon\left(k-1+\frac{2}{N}\right)$.
Proof. Let us compute the explicit expression of the Hirota one-form $\omega_{\infty}$. From Equations (3.43) and (3.44) and the quantization rules we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{0}, \infty & =-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!} q_{\ell}^{1}-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!}(\log \lambda-\mathfrak{h}(\ell)) q_{\ell}^{N}  \tag{4.45}\\
& +\epsilon \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{\ell+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\ell}^{1}}+\frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{0}^{N}},
\end{align*}
$$

and for $i=1, \ldots, N-1$ we have
(4.46) $\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{i}, \infty=\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N} \frac{\lambda^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!} q_{\ell}^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}+\ell}}{(N-1)\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{(\ell+1)}} \exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1) \frac{1-\alpha}{N-1}\right) q_{\ell}^{\alpha} \\
& +\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N-1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!}(\log \lambda-\mathfrak{h}(\ell)+2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1)) q_{\ell}^{N} \\
& -\epsilon \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N-1} \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{\ell+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\ell}^{1}} \\
& -\epsilon \sum_{\alpha=2}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{\ell}^{\lambda^{\frac{\alpha-N}{N-1}}-\ell} \exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1) \frac{\alpha-N}{N-1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\ell}^{\alpha}} \\
& -\epsilon \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{0}^{N}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $\mathcal{N}_{\infty}$ and $\left(\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\frac{2}{N}}^{N} v_{i}, \infty\right)+$ commute, while

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{\infty}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{i}, \infty\right)-=\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{i}, \infty\right)-\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{i}, \infty\right)$ - equals $\left(\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{i}, \infty\right)-$ with $q_{0}^{N}$ shifted by $-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} q_{\ell}^{N}$. This in particular kills all the terms containing $\log \lambda$, but those containinig $\log \lambda$ and $q_{0}^{N}$. Explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{0}, \infty\right)-=-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log \lambda q_{0}^{N}+\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \mathfrak{h}(\ell) q_{\ell}^{N}-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!} q_{\ell}^{1} \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $i=1, \ldots, N-1$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_{\frac{2}{N}} v_{i}, \infty\right)-= & \frac{1}{\epsilon(N-1)}(\log \lambda+2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1)) q_{0}^{N}  \tag{4.49}\\
& +\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}+\ell}}{(N-1)\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{(\ell+1)}} \exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1) \frac{1-\alpha}{N-1}\right) q_{\ell}^{\alpha} \\
& +\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N} \frac{\lambda^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!} q_{\ell}^{1}-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N-1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \mathfrak{h}(\ell) q_{\ell}^{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, setting $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N}=\epsilon\left(k-1+\frac{2}{N}\right)$, we have for $i=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\right)\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{0}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{0}}\right)(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D})=  \tag{4.50}\\
& -\lambda^{-k-1} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!}\left(q_{\ell}^{1}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}\right)+\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \mathfrak{h}(\ell)\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right)\right) \times \\
& \mathcal{D}\left(\left\{q_{\ell}^{1}+\epsilon \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{\ell+1}}\right\}_{\ell \geq 0},\left\{q_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right\}_{\substack{\alpha=2, \ldots, N-1}}^{\ell \geq 0}, q_{0}^{N}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} q_{\ell}^{N},\left\{q_{\ell}^{N}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}\right) \times \\
& \mathcal{D}\left(\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}-\epsilon \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{\ell+1}}\right\}_{\ell \geq 0},\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right\}_{\substack{\alpha=2, \ldots, N-1 \\
\ell \geq 0}}, \bar{q}_{0}^{N}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \bar{q}_{\ell}^{N},\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and for $i=1, \ldots, N-1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{i}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\right)\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{N} v_{i}} \otimes \Gamma_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{N} v_{i}}\right)(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D})=  \tag{4.51}\\
& \frac{1}{N-1} \lambda^{-1+\frac{k}{N-1}} \exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1)\left(-1+\frac{k}{N-1}\right)\right) \times \\
& \exp \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N} \frac{\lambda^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!}\left(q_{\ell}^{1}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}\right)\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}+\ell}}{(N-1)\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{(\ell+1)}} \exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1) \frac{1-\alpha}{N-1}\right)\left(q_{\ell}^{\alpha}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{h}(\ell)}{N-1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!}\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right)\right) \times \\
& \mathcal{D}\left(\left\{q_{\ell}^{1}-\epsilon \frac{1}{N-1} \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{\ell+1}}\right\}_{\ell \geq 0},\right. \\
& \left\{q_{\ell}^{\alpha}-\epsilon\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{\ell}^{\left.\lambda^{\frac{\alpha-N}{N-1}-\ell} \exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1) \frac{\alpha-N}{N-1}\right)\right\}_{\substack{\ell=2, \ldots, N-1}}, ~, ~, ~}\right. \\
& \left.q_{0}^{N}-\epsilon \frac{1}{N}-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} q_{\ell}^{N},\left\{q_{\ell}^{N}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}\right) \times \\
& \mathcal{D}\left(\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}+\epsilon \frac{1}{N-1} \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{\ell+1}}\right\}_{\ell \geq 0},\right. \\
& \left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{\alpha}+\epsilon\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{\ell} \lambda^{\frac{\alpha-N}{N-1}-\ell} \exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{i}(i-1) \frac{\alpha-N}{N-1}\right)\right\}_{\substack{\ell=2, \ldots, N-1}}, \\
& \left.\bar{q}_{0}^{N}+\epsilon \frac{1}{N}-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \bar{q}_{\ell}^{N},\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The Hirota one-form $\omega_{\infty}$ is regular at $\lambda=\infty$ iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\lambda=\infty}{\operatorname{Res}} \omega_{\infty} \lambda^{n} d \lambda=0 \text { for } n \geq 0 \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Equations (4.51) and (4.50) into the previous equation implies the statement of the proposition.

Notice that we have chosen $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N}=\epsilon\left(k-1+\frac{2}{N}\right)$ to simplify the comparison of the formulas with the ones obtained in the case $N=2$ in [10, Proposition 40]).

## 5. The Lax formulation

### 5.1. Lax representation with difference operators

Since multiplication by an invertible formal power series in $\lambda$ does not affect regularity, we have that the Hirota one-form $\omega_{\infty}$ is regular at $\lambda=\infty$ iff the $\partial_{x}$-valued Hirota one-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\omega}_{\infty}:=e^{\sum_{l>0} \frac{\lambda^{l}}{l!} q_{l}^{N} \partial_{x}} e^{x \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{0}^{N}}} \otimes e^{x \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{0}^{N}}} e^{-\sum_{l>0} \frac{\lambda^{l}}{l!} q_{l}^{N} \partial_{x}} \omega_{\infty} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is regular. Equivalently $\tilde{\omega}_{\infty}$ is regular iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\lambda=\infty}{\operatorname{Res} \tilde{\omega}_{\infty} \lambda^{n} d \lambda=0 \text { for } n \geq 0 . ~} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last formula is explicitly written as Equation (4.44) with the insertion of the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\sum_{l>0} \frac{\lambda^{l}}{l!} q_{i}^{N} \partial_{x}} e^{x \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{0}^{N}}} e^{x \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{q}_{0}^{N}}} e^{-\sum_{l>0} \frac{\lambda^{l}}{l!} \bar{q}_{l}^{N} \partial_{x}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

just after the residue.
Notice that in the second summand in (4.44) we are averaging over the $N-1$-th roots of $\lambda$, therefore only integer powers of $\lambda$ are present. After substituting $\lambda$ with $\lambda^{N-1}$ we get the equivalent equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{\lambda=\infty}{\operatorname{Res}} \lambda^{n-k-1} e^{\sum_{l>0} \frac{\lambda^{l}}{l!} q_{l}^{N} \partial_{x}} e^{x \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{0}^{N}}} e^{x \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{q}_{0}^{N}}} e^{-\sum_{l>0} \frac{\lambda^{l}}{l!} \bar{q}_{l}^{N} \partial_{x}} \times  \tag{5.4}\\
& {\left[\exp \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!}\left(q_{\ell}^{1}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}\right)+\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \mathfrak{h}(\ell)\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right)\right) \times\right.} \\
& \mathcal{D}\left(\left\{q_{\ell}^{1}+\epsilon \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{\ell+1}}\right\}_{\ell \geq 0},\left\{q_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right\}_{\substack{\ell, \ldots, N-1}}^{\substack{\ell \geq 0}},\right. \\
& \left.q_{0}^{N}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} q_{\ell}^{N},\left\{q_{\ell}^{N}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}\right) \times \\
& \mathcal{D}\left(\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}-\epsilon \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{\ell+1}}\right\}_{\ell \geq 0},\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right\}_{\substack{\ell, \ldots, N-1}},\right. \\
& \left.\left.\bar{q}_{0}^{N}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \bar{q}_{\ell}^{N},\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}\right)\right] d \lambda \\
& =\operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\infty} \lambda^{(N-1) n+k-1} e^{\sum_{l>0} \frac{\lambda^{l(N-1)}}{l!} q_{l}^{N} \partial_{x}} e^{x \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{0}^{N}}} e^{x \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{q}_{0}^{N}}} e^{-\sum_{l>0} \frac{\lambda^{l(N-1)}}{l!} \bar{q}_{l}^{N} \partial_{x}} \times
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\operatorname { e x p } \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N} \frac{\lambda^{(N-1)(\ell+1)}}{(\ell+1)!}\left(q_{\ell}^{1}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}\right)\right.\right.} \\
& +\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{N-\alpha+\ell(N-1)}}{(N-1)\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{(\ell+1)}}\left(q_{\ell}^{\alpha}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{h}(\ell)}{N-1} \frac{\lambda^{\ell(N-1)}}{\ell!}\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right)\right) \times \\
& \mathcal{D}\left(\left\{q_{\ell}^{1}-\frac{\epsilon}{N-1} \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{(N-1)(\ell+1)}}\right\}_{\ell \geq 0},\right. \\
& \left\{q_{\ell}^{\alpha}-\epsilon\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{\ell} \lambda^{\alpha-N-\ell(N-1)}\right\}_{\substack{\ell \geq 0 \\
\alpha=2, \ldots, N-1}}, \\
& \left.q_{0}^{N}-\frac{\epsilon}{N}-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{(N-1) \ell}}{\ell!} q_{\ell}^{N},\left\{q_{\ell}^{N}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}\right) \times \\
& \mathcal{D}\left(\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}+\frac{\epsilon}{N-1} \frac{\ell!}{\lambda^{(\ell+1)(N-1)}}\right\}_{\ell \geq 0},\right. \\
& \left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{\alpha}+\epsilon\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{\ell} \lambda^{\alpha-N-(N-1) \ell}\right\}_{\substack{\ell \geq 0 \\
\alpha=2, \ldots, N-1}}, \\
& \left.\left.\bar{q}_{0}^{N}+\frac{\epsilon}{N}-\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{(N-1) \ell}}{\ell!} \bar{q}_{\ell}^{N},\left\{\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}\right)\right] d \lambda,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$, where $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N}=\epsilon\left(k-1+\frac{2}{N}\right)$.
Following a general procedure, see e.g. [10] and [8], we define

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{W}^{+1}=\mathcal{P}^{+1} \mathcal{E}^{+1}, & \mathcal{W}^{-1}=\mathcal{E}^{-1} \mathcal{P}^{-1} \\
\mathcal{W}^{+0}=\mathcal{P}^{+0} \mathcal{E}^{+0}, & \mathcal{W}^{-0}=\mathcal{E}^{-0} \mathcal{P}^{-0} \tag{5.5}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}^{ \pm 1}= & \exp \left( \pm \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{N-\alpha+\ell(N-1)}}{\left(N-1+\delta_{\alpha 1}\right)\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{(\ell+1)}} q_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right.  \tag{5.6}\\
& \left. \pm \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\partial_{x}-\frac{\mathfrak{h}(\ell)}{(N-1) \epsilon}\right) \frac{\lambda^{\ell(N-1)}}{\ell!} q_{\ell}^{N}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}^{ \pm 0}=\exp \left(\mp \frac{1}{2 \epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!} q_{\ell}^{1} \pm \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!}\left(\partial_{x}+\frac{\mathfrak{h}(\ell)}{\epsilon}\right) q_{\ell}^{N}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}^{ \pm 1}=\frac{e^{ \pm\left(\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\frac{2}{N} v_{1}, \infty}\right)+\left.\right|_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{N-1}}} \mathcal{D}^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(x \mp \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)}, \quad \mathcal{P}^{ \pm 0}=\frac{e^{ \pm\left(\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{2} v_{0}, \infty\right)+} \mathcal{D}^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(x \mp \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(q, x)=\mathcal{D}(q)_{\mid q_{0}^{N} \rightarrow q_{0}^{N}+x}$.
Equation (5.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\lambda=\infty}{\operatorname{Res}} \lambda^{(N-1) n+k} \mathcal{W}^{+1}(q) \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\bar{q}) \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda}=\underset{\lambda=\infty}{\operatorname{Res}} \lambda^{n-k} \mathcal{W}^{+0}(q) \mathcal{W}^{-0}(\bar{q}) \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda}, \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$, where $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N}=\epsilon\left(k-1+\frac{2}{N}\right)$.
Let us convert this expression in a bilinear equation for difference operators. Given a difference operator $A=\sum_{s} a_{s} \Lambda^{s}=\sum_{s} \Lambda^{s} \tilde{a}_{s}$ the left and right symbols are respectively defined as $\sigma_{l}(A)=\sum_{s} a_{s} \lambda^{s}$ and $\sigma_{r}(A)=\sum_{s} \tilde{a}_{s} \lambda^{s}$. Recall that

$$
\underset{\lambda}{\operatorname{Res}} \sigma_{l}(A) \sigma_{r}(B) \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda}=\operatorname{Res}_{\Lambda} A B
$$

where $\operatorname{Res}_{\Lambda} A:=a_{0}$, for a proof see $[8, \S 3.2]$.
Let us define operators $W^{ \pm 1}$ and $W^{ \pm 0}$ by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{l}\left(W^{+1}\right)=\mathcal{W}^{+1}, \quad \sigma_{r}\left(W^{-1}\right)=\mathcal{W}^{-1}  \tag{5.10}\\
\sigma_{l}\left(W^{+0}\right)=\left.\mathcal{W}^{+0}\right|_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}},  \tag{5.11}\\
\sigma_{r}\left(W^{-0}\right)=\left.\mathcal{W}^{-0}\right|_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}}
\end{gather*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
W^{+1}= & P^{+1} \exp \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda^{N-\alpha+\ell(N-1)}}{\left(N-1+\delta_{\alpha 1}\right)\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{(\ell+1)}} q_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right.  \tag{5.12}\\
& \left.+\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\partial_{x}-\frac{\mathfrak{h}(\ell)}{(N-1) \epsilon}\right) \frac{\Lambda^{\ell(N-1)}}{\ell!} q_{\ell}^{N}\right), \\
W^{-1}= & \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda^{N-\alpha+\ell(N-1)}}{\left(N-1+\delta_{\alpha 1}\right)\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{(\ell+1)}}\right.  \tag{5.13}\\
& \left.-\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\partial_{x}-\frac{\mathfrak{h}(\ell)}{(N-1) \epsilon}\right) \frac{\Lambda^{\ell(N-1)}}{\ell!} q_{\ell}^{N}\right) P^{-1},
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
W^{+0} & =P^{+0} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \epsilon} \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{\Lambda^{-l-1}}{(l+1)!} q_{l}^{1}+\sum_{l>0} \frac{\Lambda^{-l}}{l!}\left(\partial_{x}+\frac{\mathfrak{h}(l)}{\epsilon}\right) q_{l}^{N}\right)  \tag{5.14}\\
W^{-0} & =\exp \left(+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon} \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{\Lambda^{-l-1}}{(l+1)!} q_{l}^{1}-\sum_{l>0} \frac{\Lambda^{-l}}{l!}\left(\partial_{x}+\frac{\mathfrak{h}(l)}{\epsilon}\right) q_{l}^{N}\right) P^{-0} \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the operators $P^{ \pm 1}$ and $P^{ \pm 0}$ have been defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{l}\left(P^{+1}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{+1}, & \sigma_{r}\left(P^{-1}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{-1}  \tag{5.16}\\
\sigma_{l}\left(P^{+0}\right)=\left.\mathcal{P}^{+0}\right|_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}}, & \sigma_{r}\left(P^{-0}\right)=\left.\mathcal{P}^{-0}\right|_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}}
\end{array}
$$

Note that $P^{ \pm 1}$ are power series in negative powers of $\Lambda$ with leading term equal to 1 , while $P^{ \pm 0}$ are power series in positive powers of $\Lambda$. We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}_{\Lambda}\left[W^{+1}(q) \Lambda^{(N-1) n} W^{-1}(\bar{q}) \Lambda^{k}\right]=\operatorname{Res}_{\Lambda}\left[W^{+0}(q) \Lambda^{-n} W^{-0}(\bar{q}) \Lambda^{k}\right] \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{q}_{0}^{N}=q_{0}^{N}-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}+\epsilon$. Since this holds for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and there is no $k$ dependence in the square bracket we finally find the Hirota bilinear equation in difference operator form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{+1}(q) \Lambda^{(N-1) n} W^{-1}(\bar{q})=W^{+0}(q) \Lambda^{-n} W^{-0}(\bar{q}) \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we proceed to derive some consequences from this bilinear equation. For $\bar{q}_{j}^{\alpha}=q_{j}^{\alpha}-\delta_{\alpha N} \delta_{j 0}\left(\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}-\epsilon\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{+1} \Lambda^{(N-1) n} P^{-1}=P^{+0} \Lambda^{-n} P^{-0} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies for $n=0$ and $b=0,1$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{-b}\left(q_{j}^{\alpha}-\delta_{\alpha N} \delta_{j 0}\left(\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}-\epsilon\right)\right)=P^{+b}\left(q_{j}^{\alpha}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

consequently for $n=1$ we obtain the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{+1} \Lambda^{N-1}\left(P^{+1}\right)^{-1}=P^{+0} \Lambda^{-1}\left(P^{+0}\right)^{-1}=: L \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a difference Lax operator of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\Lambda^{N-1}+v_{N-2} \Lambda^{N-2}+v_{N-3} \Lambda^{N-3}+\cdots+v_{0}+e^{u} \Lambda^{-1} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the following operators as in [6] or [8]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\frac{1}{N-1}}=P^{+1} \Lambda\left(P^{+1}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its logarithm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log L=\frac{1}{2} \log _{+} L+\frac{1}{2} \log _{-} L, \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log _{+} L=P^{+1} \epsilon \partial_{x}\left(P^{+1}\right)^{-1}  \tag{5.25}\\
& \log _{-} L=-P^{+0} \epsilon \partial_{x}\left(P^{+0}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 24. For later use, we note that the coefficients $w_{k}$ of $\log L$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log L=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{k} \Lambda^{k} \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
2 w_{-k} & =\epsilon \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\infty} \lambda^{k-1} \mathcal{P}^{+1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}^{-1}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}+\epsilon(1-k)\right)}{\partial x} d \lambda,  \tag{5.28}\\
2 w_{k} & =-\epsilon \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\infty} \lambda^{k-1} \mathcal{P}^{+0} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}+\epsilon(1+k)\right)}{\partial x} d \lambda .
\end{align*}
$$

If we differentiate (5.18) by $q_{\ell}^{\alpha}$ and project on the negative, respectively non-negative, degrees of $\Lambda$, we obtain the following Sato-Wilson equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial P^{+1}}{\partial q_{\ell}^{\alpha}}=-\left(B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right)_{-} P^{+1}, \quad \frac{\partial P^{+0}}{\partial q_{\ell}^{\alpha}}=\left(B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right)_{+} P^{+0} \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{\ell}^{\alpha}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{\ell}^{1} & =\frac{N+2}{\epsilon 2 N(\ell+1)!} L^{\ell+1} \\
B_{\ell}^{\alpha} & =\frac{1}{\epsilon(N-1)\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{(\ell+1)}} L^{\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}+\ell}, \quad \alpha=2, \ldots N-1,  \tag{5.30}\\
B_{\ell}^{N} & =\frac{1}{\epsilon \ell!}\left(2 \log L-\frac{N}{N-1} \mathfrak{h}(\ell)\right) L^{\ell}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\ell \geq 0$. It is then straightforward to derive the Lax equations from the Sato-Wilson equations (5.29):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{\ell}^{\alpha}}=\left[\left(B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right)_{+}, L\right]=-\left[\left(B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right)_{-}, L\right] . \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.2. Lax representation with pseudo-differential operators

In this section we derive the Lax equations in terms of pseudo-differential operators directly from the Hirota quadratic equations. For most of the times $q_{\ell}^{\alpha}$, those with $\alpha \neq N$, the derivation follows the usual procedure as in the case of the rational reductions of the KP hierarchy, but it is more complicated in the case of the "logarithmic" times $q_{\ell}^{N}$.

As before, observe that the $\partial_{x}$-valued Hirota one-form $\tilde{\omega}_{\infty}$ is regular at $\lambda=\infty$ iff the $\partial_{x}$-valued Hirota one-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\omega}_{\infty}:=e^{-\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \frac{h(\ell)}{\epsilon} q_{\ell}^{N}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!} q_{\ell}^{1}} \otimes e^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \frac{h(\ell)}{\epsilon} q_{\ell}^{N}-\frac{1}{2 \epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!} q_{\ell}^{1}} \tilde{\omega}_{\infty} \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is regular. The Hirota equations are therefore satisfied iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\lambda=\infty}{\operatorname{Res}} \hat{\omega}_{\infty} \lambda^{n} d \lambda=0 \text { for } n \geq 0 \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

With a reasoning similar to the one used at the beginning of Section 5.1 we can rewrite these Hirota equations as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\infty} \lambda^{(N-1) n+k} \times  \tag{5.34}\\
& \exp \left(-\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{(N-1) \ell}}{\ell!} \frac{\mathfrak{h}(\ell)}{\epsilon}\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{(\ell+1)(N-1)}}{(\ell+1)!}\left(q_{\ell}^{1}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}\right)\right) \times \\
& \mathcal{W}^{+1}(q) \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\bar{q}) \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda} \\
&= \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\infty} \lambda^{n-k} \times \\
& \quad \exp \left(-\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \frac{\mathfrak{h}(\ell)}{\epsilon}\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!}\left(q_{\ell}^{1}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{1}\right)\right) \times \\
& \mathcal{W}^{+0}(q) \mathcal{W}^{-0}(\bar{q}) \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda},
\end{align*}
$$

where $q_{0}^{N}-\bar{q}_{0}^{N}=\epsilon\left(k-1+\frac{2}{N}\right)$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$. Defining

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(q, \lambda): & \exp \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{N-\alpha+\ell(N-1)}}{(N-1)\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{(\ell+1)}} q_{\ell}^{\alpha}+\right.  \tag{5.35}\\
& \left.+\frac{N+2}{2 N \epsilon} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{(N-1)(\ell+1)}}{(\ell+1)!} q_{\ell}^{1}-\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{N \mathfrak{h}(\ell)}{(N-1) \epsilon} \frac{\lambda^{\ell(N-1)}}{\ell!} q_{\ell}^{N}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (5.34) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\infty} \lambda^{(N-1) n+k} \mathcal{P}^{+1}(q) \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(q-\bar{q}, \lambda) e^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{(N-1) \ell}}{\ell!}\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right) \partial_{x}} \mathcal{P}^{-1}(\bar{q}) \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda}  \tag{5.36}\\
& =\operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\infty}^{n-k} \lambda^{+0}(q) e^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!}\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right) \partial_{x}} \mathcal{P}^{-0}(\bar{q}) \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda} .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally we introduce a new "spatial" variable by replacing $q_{0}^{N-1}$ by $q_{0}^{N-1}+X$ and denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{ \pm 1}=\left.\mathcal{P}^{ \pm 1}\right|_{q_{0}^{N-1} \rightarrow q_{0}^{N-1}+X}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{ \pm 0}=\left.\mathcal{P}^{ \pm 0}\right|_{q_{0}^{N-1} \rightarrow q_{0}^{N-1}+X} \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 25. The Hirota equation (4.44) is equivalent to the following equality of residues for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\infty} \lambda^{n(N-1)+k} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(q-\bar{q}, \lambda) \times  \tag{5.38}\\
& \qquad\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}(q, \lambda) e^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell(N-1)}}{\ell!}\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right) \partial_{x}} e^{-\epsilon k \partial_{x}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(\bar{q}, \lambda)\right) e^{\frac{\lambda}{\epsilon}(X-\bar{X})} d \lambda \\
& =\operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\infty}^{n-k-2}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(q, \lambda) e^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!}\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right) \partial_{x}} e^{-\epsilon k \partial_{x}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}(\bar{q}, \lambda)\right) d \lambda,
\end{align*}
$$

for $\bar{q}_{0}^{N}=q_{0}^{N}-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}$.
Notice that in the previous equation the variable $\bar{q}_{0}^{N-1}$ was clearly shifted by $\bar{X}$. Moreover, to simplify the expression, we set $\bar{q}_{0}^{N} \mapsto \bar{q}_{0}^{N}-\epsilon(k-1)$, replaced $k$ by $k+1$, and finally multiplied (5.36) on the right by $e^{-\epsilon k \partial_{x}}$.

This bilinear identity is equivalent to a bilinear identity involving pseudodifferential operators in $X$. This can be seen by using the fundamental lemma, see Lemma 50 in Section 8.3.2 in [10].

Proposition 26. The Hirota equation (4.44) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(q-\bar{q}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) \times\right. \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.e^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{\ell(N-1)}}{\ell!}\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right) \partial_{x}} e^{-\epsilon k \partial_{x}}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{n(N-1)+k} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}\left(\bar{q},-\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{*}\right]_{-} \\
= & \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda} \lambda^{n-k-2}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(q, \lambda) e^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!}\left(q_{\ell}^{N}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{N}\right) \partial_{x}} e^{-\epsilon k \partial_{x}}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}(\bar{q}, \lambda)\right) d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$ and $\bar{q}_{0}^{N}=q_{0}^{N}-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}$.
Notice that in the previous equation we have $\bar{X}=X$. As usual the symbol $P(\lambda)=\sum_{k} p_{k} \lambda^{k}$ is quantised to an operator $P\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)$ and its formal adjoint $P^{*}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)=\sum_{k} p_{k}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{k}, \quad P^{*}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)=\sum_{k}\left(-\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{k} p_{k} \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover [ $\cdot$ ] denotes the projection to negative powers of $\epsilon \partial_{X}$.
Let us spell out the main consequences of the Hirota equation (5.39). Let us first set $q=\bar{q}$, except for the case $\bar{q}_{0}^{N}=q_{0}^{N}-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(x, X, q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) e^{-\epsilon k \partial_{x}}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{n(N-1)+k} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q,-\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{*}\right]_{-}=}  \tag{5.41}\\
& \quad=\operatorname{Res}_{\lambda} \lambda^{n-k-2}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q, \lambda) e^{-\epsilon k \partial_{x}}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q, \lambda\right)\right) d \lambda
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider the case $n \leq k$. In such case the righthand side in (5.41) vanishes since $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{ \pm 0}$ only contains non-positive powers of $\lambda$. For $n=0$ and $k=0$ we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q,-\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{*}=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(x, X, q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)$ is a power series in $\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1}$ with leading term equal to 1 . Substituting this back, we obtain that (5.41) for $n \leq k$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(x, X, q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) e^{-\epsilon k \partial_{x}}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{n(N-1)+k} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(x, X, q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1}\right]_{-}=0 \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constraints encoded by this equations boil down to the two cases $n=0$ and $n=1$ for $k=1$. Indeed, defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) e^{-\epsilon \partial_{x}}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right) \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right):=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) e^{-\epsilon \partial_{x}}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{N} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5.43) implies, for $n=0, n=1$ and $k=1$, that both operators are differential in $X$, i.e., they do not contain negative powers of $\epsilon \partial_{X}$. In particular we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) & =\left(\epsilon \partial_{X} e^{-\epsilon \partial_{x}}+\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}(q)_{-1} e^{-\epsilon \partial_{x}}-e^{-\epsilon \partial_{x}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}(q)_{-1}\right)  \tag{5.46}\\
& =\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}-\phi(q)\right) e^{-\epsilon \partial_{x}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi:=\left(1-e^{-\epsilon \partial_{x}}\right)\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}(q)_{-1}\right)$ and $T\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)=T\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)_{+}$. Moreover, once these two constraints are satisfied, the remaining contraints in (5.43) are also implied, since they only state that $T^{n} S^{k-n}$ is differential.

Let us now define the Lax operator as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right):=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{N-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its differential part is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)_{+}=\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{N-1}+a_{2}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{N-3}+\cdots+a_{N-1} . \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also use the notation $L\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right):=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) \epsilon \partial_{X} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1}$ so that $\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)=L\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{N-1}$. Notice that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) & =T\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) S\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1}  \tag{5.49}\\
& =S\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} T\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

since $T\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)$ and $S\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)$ commute. If we define the differential operator $\mathcal{T}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)$ of order $N$ and $\mathcal{S}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)$ of order 1 by

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) & =\mathcal{T}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) e^{-\epsilon \partial_{x}}  \tag{5.50}\\
S\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) & =\mathcal{S}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) e^{-\epsilon \partial_{x}}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. $\mathcal{S}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)=\epsilon \partial_{X}-\phi(q)$, we can also write

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) & =\mathcal{T}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) \mathcal{S}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1}  \tag{5.51}\\
& =e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}} \mathcal{S}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{T}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) e^{-\epsilon \partial_{x}}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that $\mathcal{S}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)$ and $\mathcal{T}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)$ do not commute.
Taking into account the steps performed so far, Equation (5.41) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{L}^{n} S^{k}\right)_{-}=\operatorname{Res}_{\lambda} \lambda^{n-k-2} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q, \lambda) e^{-\epsilon k \partial_{x}}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q, \lambda\right) d \lambda \tag{5.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As observed above, for $n \leq k$ both sides are trivial. For arbitrary fixed $k$, Equation (5.52) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n} \mathcal{L}^{n} S^{k}\right)_{-}=  \tag{5.53}\\
& \left(\lambda^{-k-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q, \lambda) e^{-\epsilon k \partial_{x}}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q, \lambda\right)\right)_{\lambda \leq 0}
\end{align*}
$$

which in particular for $k=0$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{n+1}\right)_{-}=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q, \lambda)\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q, \lambda\right) \tag{5.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=1$ and $k=0$, Equation (5.52) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)_{-}=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q)_{0}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q\right)_{0} \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for $n=0$ and $k=-1$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{-1}=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q, \lambda)_{0} e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q, \lambda\right)_{0} \tag{5.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the last two equations and (5.46) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)_{-}=a_{N}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}-a_{1}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{N}=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q)_{0} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x-\epsilon, X, q)_{0}^{-1}$ and $a_{1}=\phi$. Similarly we can also write $\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)_{-}=\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}-\tilde{a}_{1}\right)^{-1} \tilde{a}_{N}$ for $\tilde{a}_{1}=\phi(x+\epsilon)$ and $\tilde{a}_{N}=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}(x-$ $\left.\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}+\epsilon, X, q\right)_{0}^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q\right)_{0}$.

The standard procedure followed so far yields the Lax representation for most of the times, excluding the times $q_{\ell}^{N}$ for $\ell \geq 0$, and allows to identify the hierarchy with the rational $(N-1)$-constrained KP hierarchy.

Proposition 27. The Lax operator $\mathcal{L}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)=\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{N-1}+a_{2}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{N-3}+\cdots+a_{N-1}+a_{N}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}-a_{1}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satisfies the Lax equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)}{\partial q_{\ell}^{\alpha}} & =\left[\left(B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)\right)_{+}, \mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)\right]  \tag{5.59}\\
& =-\left[\left(B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)\right)_{-}, \mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)\right], \quad 1 \leq \alpha<N
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{\ell}^{1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) & :=\frac{N+2}{2 N} \frac{\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!},  \tag{5.60}\\
B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) & :=\frac{L\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{N-\alpha+\ell(N-1)}}{(N-1)\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{(\ell+1)}}, \quad \text { for } 1<\alpha<N .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We obtain the following Sato-Wilson equations if we differentiate (5.39) with respect to $q_{\ell}^{\alpha}$, for $\alpha \neq N$ and set $n=k=0$, noticing that the righthand side vanishes and using (5.42)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)}{\partial q_{\ell}^{\alpha}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1}=-B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)_{-}, \quad 1 \leq \alpha<N \tag{5.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this Equation (5.59) immediately follows.

In the literature the constrained KP hierarchy is also represented as in the following proposition.

Proposition 28. The Lax operator $\mathcal{L}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)_{+}+\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q)_{0}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q\right)_{0} \tag{5.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{ \pm 0}\left(x-\frac{\epsilon}{N} \pm \frac{\epsilon}{N}, X, q\right)_{0}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 63) } \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q)_{0}}{\partial q_{\ell}^{\alpha}}=\left(B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)\right)_{+}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q)_{0}\right)  \tag{5.63}\\
& \epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q\right)_{0}}{\partial q_{\ell}^{\alpha}}=-\left(B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{*}\right)_{+}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q\right)_{0}\right) \\
& 1 \leq \alpha<N .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. If we differentiate (5.39), for $n=1, k=0$, by $q_{j}^{\alpha}$ and use (5.61), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q)_{0}}{\partial q_{\ell}^{\alpha}}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q\right)_{0}  \tag{5.64}\\
& =\left(\epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)}{\partial q_{\ell}^{\alpha}}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{N-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1}+B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) \mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)\right)_{-} \\
& =\left(-B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)_{-} \mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)+B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) \mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)\right)_{-} \\
& =\left(B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)_{+} \mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)_{-}\right)_{-} \\
& =\left(B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)_{+} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q)_{0}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q\right)_{0}\right)_{-} \\
& =B_{\ell}^{\alpha}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)_{+}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q)_{0}\right)\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q\right)_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives the first equation of (5.63). The second equation can be obtained by differentiating (5.39), for $n=1, k=0$, by $\bar{q}_{j}^{\alpha}$ and using the adjoint version of the Sato-Wilson equations (5.61).

Using the Sato-Wilson equations (5.61), we obtain the following version of Krichever's rational reduction of KP, cf. [29, Theorem 2] or [28]:

Proposition 29. The differential operators $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon m_{\ell}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}}{\partial q_{\ell}^{\alpha}}=\left(\left(\mathcal{T} \mathcal{S}^{-1}\right)^{\frac{N-\alpha+\ell(N-1)}{N-1}}\right)_{+} \mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}\left(\left(\mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathcal{T}\right)^{\frac{N-\alpha+\ell(N-1)}{N-1}}\right)_{+}  \tag{5.65}\\
& \epsilon m_{\ell}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial q_{\ell}^{\alpha}}=\left(\left(\mathcal{T} \mathcal{S}^{-1}\right)^{\frac{N-\alpha+\ell(N-1)}{N-1}}\right)_{+} \mathcal{S}-\mathcal{S}\left(\left(\mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathcal{T}\right)^{\frac{N-\alpha+\ell(N-1)}{N-1}}\right)_{+}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
m_{\ell}^{1} & =\frac{N+2}{(\ell+1)!2 N}  \tag{5.66}\\
m_{\ell}^{\alpha} & =\frac{1}{(N-1)\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{N-1}\right)_{(\ell+1)}}, & 1<\alpha<N
\end{array}
$$

We now want to find the Lax representation for the "logarithmic" flows corresponding to the times $q_{\ell}^{N}$ for $\ell>0$. We differentiate (5.39) with respect
to $q_{\ell}^{N}$ and put $k=n=0$ and $q=\bar{q}$, except for $\bar{q}_{0}^{N}=q_{0}^{N}-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}$, obtaining:

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon & \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)}{\partial q_{\ell}^{N}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1}  \tag{5.67}\\
= & -\left(\frac{\left(\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{\ell}\right.}{\ell!}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) \epsilon \partial_{x} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1}-\frac{N \mathfrak{h}(\ell)}{N-1}\right)\right)_{-} \\
& +\underset{\lambda}{\operatorname{Res}_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\ell-2}}{\ell!}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q, \lambda)\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \epsilon \partial_{x} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q, \lambda\right)\right) d \lambda} \\
= & \left(\frac{\left(\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{\ell}\right.}{\ell!}\left(\epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)}{\partial x} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1}+\frac{N \mathfrak{h}(\ell)}{N-1}\right)\right)_{-} \\
& +\epsilon \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\ell-2}}{\ell!}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+0}(x, X, q, \lambda)\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q, \lambda\right)}{\partial x}\right) d \lambda .
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that here we have used (5.54) to obtain the second expression which does not contain the operator $\epsilon \partial_{x}$. Using again (5.54), we find that the last term on the right-hand side is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon \operatorname{Res} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{\lambda^{k-1}}{\ell!}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\ell-k}\right)_{-} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q, \lambda\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q, \lambda\right)}{\partial x} d \lambda \tag{5.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is finite since the terms with $k<0$ involve only powers of $\lambda$ strictly smaller than -1 .

If we define the operator $\mathcal{Q}\left(q, e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)$ as the dressing of $e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right) e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which in particular $L=\mathcal{Q} S$ and $L^{N}=\mathcal{Q} T$, then it is quite natural to denote with $\log \mathcal{Q}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{x}, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)$ the dressing of the operator $\epsilon \partial_{x}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \mathcal{Q}=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1} \epsilon \partial_{x}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\right)^{-1}=\epsilon \partial_{x}-\epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}}{\partial x}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allows us to state the Sato-Wilson equations for the "logarithmic" flows as in the following

Proposition 30. The wave operator $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)$ satisfies the Sato-Wilson equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)}{\partial q_{\ell}^{N}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1}=-\left(B_{\ell}^{N}\right)_{-} \tag{5.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\ell}^{N}=\frac{\mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{\ell}}{\ell!}\left(\log \mathcal{Q}-\epsilon \partial_{x}-\frac{N \mathfrak{h}(\ell)}{N-1}-\tilde{B}_{\ell}\right) \tag{5.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the operator $\tilde{B}_{\ell}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{B}_{\ell}=\epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{L}^{-k} \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda} \lambda^{k} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q, \lambda\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q, \lambda\right)}{\partial x} \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda} \tag{5.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lax equations for the logarithmic flows are then simply given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)}{\partial q_{\ell}^{N}}=\left[-\left(B_{\ell}^{N}\right)_{-}, \mathcal{L}\left(q, \epsilon \partial_{X}\right)\right] \tag{5.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the operator $\tilde{B}_{\ell}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{B}_{\ell}=\epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{L}^{-k} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_{k}}{\partial x} \tag{5.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\tilde{v}_{k}$ are the coefficients in the logarithm of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q, \lambda\right)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, X, q, \lambda\right)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \tilde{v}_{k} \lambda^{-k} \tag{5.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 31. While $\log \mathcal{Q}$ clearly commutes with $\mathcal{L}$, since it is defined by dressing $\epsilon \partial_{x}$, we cannot claim that the operator $\tilde{B}_{\ell}$ commutes with $\mathcal{L}$.
Remark 32. Notice that in the $N=2$ case discussed in [10] we were able to express $B_{\ell}^{N}$ in terms of a single logarithm operator $\log \mathcal{L}$ without the more complicated part $\tilde{B}_{\ell}$ given in terms of residues in (5.73). This somehow ad-hoc definition of $\log \mathcal{L}$ relied on a certain symmetry of the $N=2$ Lax operator that allowed to express the coefficients $w_{k}$ with positive $k$ in terms of those with negative $k$. This symmetry is however not present in the general $N>2$ case.

Remark 33. The importance of the Lax formulation of a hierarchy lies in the fact that it gives an explicit representation of its flows, namely it provides a way to write the time derivatives of the dependent variables appearing in the Lax operator $\mathcal{L}$, the variables $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}$ in this case, as differential polynomials in the same variables and their $X$-derivatives. In general this follows from the fact the the operators $B_{\ell}^{\alpha}$ defined in (5.60) and (5.72) are functions of $\mathcal{L}$, namely that they commute with $\mathcal{L}$ and that their coefficients are uniquely determined as $X$ differential polynomials in the variables $a_{i}$. While this is trivially true for the operators $B_{\ell}^{\alpha}$ for $1 \leq \alpha<N$ and $\ell \geq 0$, this cannot be easily proved for the operators $B_{\ell}^{N}$. Here we conjecture in particular that the operators $\log \mathcal{Q}$ and $\tilde{B}_{\ell}$ satisfy such properties.
Remark 34. We can relate $\frac{\partial \tilde{v}_{k}}{\partial x}$ and $\log \mathcal{Q}$ to the coefficients of the logarithm defined in Section 5.1:

Lemma 35. Let $\tilde{w}_{k}=\left.w_{k}\right|_{q_{0}^{N-1} \rightarrow q_{0}^{N-1}+X}$, where $w_{k}$ is given by (5.28), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{v}_{k}}{\partial x}=-\frac{2}{\epsilon} \tilde{w}_{k}(x-(k+1) \epsilon) \tag{5.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\log \mathcal{Q}}=2 \sum_{k<0} \tilde{w}_{k} \Lambda^{k} S^{k} \tag{5.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first prove (5.77). For simplicity we will identify $\Lambda=e^{\epsilon \partial_{x}}$. Using (5.20), we have that $P^{+0}(\Lambda) P^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}+\epsilon, \Lambda\right)=1$. Now writing $P^{+0}(\Lambda)=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P_{j}^{+0} \Lambda^{j}$, and $P^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}+\epsilon, \Lambda\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Lambda^{j} P_{j}^{-0}(x)$, this means that for $k=0,1, \ldots$, we have $\sum_{j=0}^{k} P_{j}^{+0} \Lambda^{k} P_{k-j}^{-0}(x)=\delta_{k 0}$, or stated differently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{k} \Lambda^{-k-1} P_{j}^{+0} \Lambda^{k+1} P_{k-j}^{-0}(x-\epsilon) \lambda^{-k}=\delta_{k 0} \tag{5.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{\mu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^{k-1} \Lambda^{-k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} P_{j}^{+0} \Lambda^{k+1} P_{k-j}^{-0}(x-\epsilon) \mu^{-k} d \mu \lambda^{-k}  \tag{5.80}\\
& =\operatorname{Res} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \mu^{k-1} \Lambda^{-k-1} \mathcal{P}^{+0}(\mu) \Lambda^{k+1} \mathcal{P}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, \mu\right) d \mu \lambda^{-k} \\
& =1
\end{align*}
$$

Now replacing $q_{0}^{N-1}$ by $q_{0}^{N-1}+X$, we get the same formula but now for $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{ \pm 0}$ instead of $\mathcal{P}^{ \pm 0}$. Thus we now know how to calculate the action of the inverse of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, \lambda\right)$ on $\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, \lambda\right)}{\partial x}$, viz. as above:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, \lambda\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, \lambda\right)}{\partial x}=  \tag{5.81}\\
& \operatorname{Res} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^{k-1} \Lambda^{-k-1} \mathcal{P}^{+0}(\mu) \Lambda^{k+1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}^{-0}\left(x-\frac{2 \epsilon}{N}, \mu\right)}{\partial x} d \mu \lambda^{-k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally using the second formula of (5.28), where we also replace $q_{0}^{N-1}$ by $q_{0}^{N-1}+X$, we obtain the desired result.

We will proof formula (5.78) below, see (5.91).
Recall that the variable $X$ was introduced by the shift $q_{0}^{N-1} \mapsto q_{0}^{N-1}+X$. The Lax equation for the time $q_{0}^{N-1}$ reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon \frac{\partial L}{\partial X}=\epsilon \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{0}^{N-1}}=\left[\left(L^{\frac{1}{N-1}}\right)_{+}, L\right]=[\Lambda+f, L] \tag{5.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f=(1-\Lambda)\left(1-\Lambda^{N-1}\right)^{-1} v_{N-2}$, which is explicitly given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon\left(v_{i}\right)_{X}=(\Lambda-1)\left(v_{i-1}\right)+v_{i}\left(1-\Lambda^{i}\right)\left(1-\Lambda^{N-1}\right)^{-1}(1-\Lambda)\left(v_{N-2}\right) \tag{5.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $-1 \leq i \leq N-2$, where we noted $v_{-1}=e^{u}$ and $v_{N-1}=1$.
In principle we can invert these formulas as in the extended NLS case, see $[7,10,20]$ and more recently for the constrained KP case [21], expressing the $x$ derivatives of the dependent variables $v_{i}$ as differential polynomials in $X$. Substituting in Equations (5.31) we obtain in a different way the extended constrained KP hierarchy.

We want to replace the shift operator $\Lambda$ by $\epsilon \partial_{X}$, i.e. we want to associate to a dressing operator $P^{+1}(\Lambda)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_{i} \Lambda^{-i}$ the following pseudodifferential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_{i}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-i} \tag{5.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the definition of $S$ from (5.46):

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right) \Lambda^{-1}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation $L P^{+1}=P^{+1} \Lambda^{N-1}$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{N-1}= & \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}(x+(N-1) \epsilon)\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{N-1}  \tag{5.86}\\
& +v_{N-2} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}(x+(N-2) \epsilon)\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{N-2} \\
& +\cdots+e^{u} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}(x-\epsilon)\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now multiply with $\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\right)^{-1}$ from the right, this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}=\Lambda^{N-1} S^{N-1}+v_{N-2} \Lambda^{N-2} S^{N-2}+\cdots+e^{u} \Lambda^{-1} S^{-1} . \tag{5.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the Sato-Wilson equation $\left(j=1, \ldots, N, n \geq \delta_{j, N}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial P^{+1}}{\partial q_{n}^{j}}=-\left(B_{n}^{j}\right)_{-} P^{+1}=-\sum_{k<0} a_{\ell, k}^{j} \Lambda^{k} P^{+1} \tag{5.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

turns into

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}}{\partial q_{n}^{j}}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\right)^{-1} & =-\sum_{k<0} a_{\ell, k}^{j} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}(x+k \epsilon)\left(\epsilon \partial_{X}\right)^{k}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\right)^{-1}  \tag{5.89}\\
& =-\sum_{k<0} a_{\ell, k}^{j} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{S}^{k}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{+1} \epsilon \partial_{x}=\log _{+} L P^{+1}=\left(\epsilon \partial_{x}+2 \sum_{k<0} w_{k} \Lambda^{k}\right) P^{+1} \tag{5.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives that $\log \mathcal{Q}=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1} \epsilon \partial_{x}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{+1}\right)^{-1}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \mathcal{Q}=\epsilon \partial_{x}+2 \sum_{k<0} w_{k} \Lambda^{k} S^{k} \tag{5.91}
\end{equation*}
$$
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